CS 151 Complexity Theory **Spring 2025**

Problem Set 2

Out: April 10 *Due:* **April 17**

Reminder: you are encouraged to work in groups of two or three; however you must turn in your own write-up and note with whom you worked. You may consult the course notes and the optional text (Papadimitriou). The full honor code guidelines and collaboration policy can be found in the course syllabus.

Please attempt all problems. **Please turn in your solutions via Gradescope, by 1pm on the due date.**

1. A *strong* nondeterministic Turing Machine has, in addition to its q_{accept} and q_{reject} states, a special state $q_?$. Such a Turing Machine *accepts* its input if all computation paths lead to q_{accept} and $q_?$ states, and it *rejects* its input if all computation paths lead to q_{reject} and $q_?$ states. Moreover, on every input, there is at least one computation path leading to q_{accept} or q_{reject}. Show that the class of languages decided[1] by a strong nondeterministic Turing Machine in polynomial time is exactly $\mathbf{NP} \cap \mathbf{coNP}$.

2. In this problem you will prove Mahaney's Theorem: a sparse language S cannot be **NP**-complete unless $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$. Throughout this problem, S is a sparse language in **NP** with a polynomial bound $p(n)$ on the number of strings of length at most n.

   (a) Explain briefly where the proof of the special case of Mahaney's Theorem for *unary* languages (from class) breaks down for sparse languages.

   (b) Show that if $\overline{\text{SAT}}$ reduces to S in polynomial time via reduction R, then a procedure very similar to the one for unary languages from class decides $\overline{\text{SAT}}$ in polynomial time, and hence implies $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$.

   (c) Define $c(n)$ to be the exact number of strings of length at most n in S (clearly $c(n) \leq p(n)$ for all n). Argue that the following language is in **NP**:

   $$\hat{S} = \{(x, 1^k) : k < c(|x|) \text{ or } (k = c(|x|) \text{ and } x \notin S)\}.$$

   Hint: do not try to compute $c(|x|)$; rather, focus on describing an **NP** algorithm that decides $\hat{S}$ properly under the assumption that $k = c(|x|)$, and then see what your algorithm does when $k \neq c(|x|)$.

   (d) Finally we assume S is **NP**-complete. Thus, everything in **NP** reduces to S, and we give names to two of these reductions: let T be a polynomial-time reduction from SAT

[1] A language is *decided* (as usual) if every input is either accepted or rejected according to the accept/reject criteria for this type of machine.

to S, and let U be a polynomial-time reduction from $\hat{S}$ to S. Using T and U, describe a *family* of "candidate reductions from $\overline{\text{SAT}}$ to S," R_k, with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} R_k(\phi) &\in S && \text{if } k < c(|T(\phi)|) \\ R_k(\phi) \in S &\Leftrightarrow \phi \in \overline{\text{SAT}} && \text{if } k = c(|T(\phi)|) \\ R_k(\phi) &\notin S && \text{if } k > c(|T(\phi)|) \end{aligned}$$

(e) Using parts (b) and (d), prove Mahaney's Theorem. To solve a technical problem, you may want to prove and make use of the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 *If language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is* **NP***-complete, then language $L' \in (\Sigma \cup \{\#\})^*$ defined by*

$$L' = \{x\#^i : x \in L, i \geq 0\}$$

is **NP***-complete. If L is sparse then L' is sparse.*

This allows one to modify the **NP**-complete language S so that the reduction T, when applied to strings of length n, always outputs strings of a particular length.

(f) Argue that if $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$, then there *are* sparse **NP**-complete languages (under polynomial-time, many-one reducibility).

3. A directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is *strongly connected* if for every pair of vertices (x, y) there is a directed path from x to y and a directed path from y to x. Consider STRONGLY CONNECTED, the language of graphs G that are strongly connected. Either show that this problem is in **L**, or prove a complexity consequence of such a containment.