

CS/Ph120 Homework 2 Solutions

October 25, 2016

Problem 1: Classical one-time pad

Solution: (Due to Daniel Gu)

1. Let X be the random variable which is the number of bits that Alice uses in total, and X_i be the number of bits that Alice uses at step i in the protocol. Then $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and so by linearity of expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \frac{n}{2}$$

2. The scheme is certainly not correct: since Alice doesn't send her random choices (random bits) to Bob but only the ciphertext c , Bob has no idea which bits got XOR'd with the key and which got XOR'd with a random bit. No deterministic algorithm can decrypt the ciphertext accurately, since once we fix the ciphertext, key, and $\text{DEC}(k, c)$, we can choose our random bits such that our message does not match our decryption algorithm's answer.

However, the scheme is secure. The probability that the i th bit of the message is 0 (over the random choices made by Alice and a uniformly random key distribution) given that the i th bit of the ciphertext is b is $1/2$, since with probability $1/2$ we XOR b with the i th bit of the key, which without knowledge of the key is equally likely to be 0 or 1, so it has a $1/2$ chance of being b and producing 0, and with probability $1/2$ we XOR it with a uniformly random bit, which is also has a $1/2$ chance of being b . So given the ciphertext, the distribution of possible messages is the uniform distribution over all n bit messages, so the scheme is secure.

Problem 2: Superpositions and mixtures

Solution: (Due to Alex Meiburg)

(a)

$$\frac{1}{2} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle \langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Note that $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{I}$. The probability for any given state is given by

$$\langle \psi | \rho_0 | \psi \rangle = \left\langle \psi \left| \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I} \right| \psi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$

So that each measurement of a state gives a 50% probability of that occurring.

(c)

$$\rho_0 = |+\rangle\langle +| = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\langle 0|\rho_0|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \langle 1|\rho_0|1\rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\langle +|\rho_0|+\rangle = \langle +|\rho_0|+\rangle = 1, \quad \langle -|\rho_0|-\rangle = \langle -|\rho_0|-\rangle = 0$$

So that in the standard basis it is completely random, while in the Hadamard basis it is guaranteed $|+\rangle$.

Problem 3: Quantum one-time pad

Solution: (Due to Anish Thilagar)

1. This protocol is correct. Bob will receive the state $H^k|\psi\rangle$. He can then apply H^k again, to get the qubit $H^{2k}|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$ because $H^{2k} = (H^2)^k = I^k = I$. Therefore, he can correctly extract the message from Alice.
2. This protocol is not secure. Take the state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |+\rangle)$. Under the action of H , this is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1, so it will remain unchanged. Therefore, the ciphertext c will be equal to the message $m = |\psi\rangle$, so $p(|\psi\rangle|c) = 1 \neq p(|\psi\rangle) < 1$. Therefore, this protocol is not secure.

Problem 4: Unambiguous quantum state discrimination

Solution: (Due to Mandy Huo)

- (a) If Alice measures in the standard basis then given that the state is $|0\rangle$ she will always get $|0\rangle$ so she will never misidentify it and given that the state is $|+\rangle$ she will get $|0\rangle$ half the time so she will misidentify it probability $1/2$.
- (b) If Alice measures in the Hadamard basis then given that the state is $|+\rangle$ she will always get $|+\rangle$ so she will never misidentify it. Given that the state is $|0\rangle$ she will get $|+\rangle$ half the time so she will misidentify it probability $1/2$.
- (c) Assuming both states are equally likely a priori, Alice can do better overall if she measures in the basis $\{|b_1\rangle, |b_2\rangle\}$ where $|b_1\rangle = \sin\frac{3\pi}{8}|0\rangle - \cos\frac{3\pi}{8}|1\rangle$ and $|b_2\rangle = \cos\frac{3\pi}{8}|0\rangle + \sin\frac{3\pi}{8}|1\rangle$, and identifies $|0\rangle$ when she gets the outcome $|b_1\rangle$ and $|+\rangle$ when she gets the outcome $|b_2\rangle$. Then

the total probability of misidentifying is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}|\langle b_2|0\rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\langle b_1|+\rangle|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\cos^2\frac{3\pi}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin^2\frac{3\pi}{8} + \cos^2\frac{3\pi}{8} - 2\sin\frac{3\pi}{8}\cos\frac{3\pi}{8}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\cos^2\frac{3\pi}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sin\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\cos^2\frac{3\pi}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \cos\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) \\
&= \cos^2\frac{3\pi}{8} = 0.15
\end{aligned}$$

which is less than $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$ in parts (a) and (b).

(d) If the state is $|+\rangle$ then Alice will get outcomes 2 and 3 with probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}\{E_2|+\rangle\langle+\}| &= \text{tr}\left\{\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\sqrt{2}}|-\rangle\langle-|\right)|+\rangle\langle+\right\} = 0, \\
\text{tr}\{E_3|+\rangle\langle+\}| &= 1 - \text{tr}\{E_1|+\rangle\langle+\}| - \text{tr}\{E_2|+\rangle\langle+\}| = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(1+\sqrt{2})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So given that the state is $|+\rangle$, Alice will never misidentify the state and will fail to make an identification with probability $1/\sqrt{2}$.

If the state is $|0\rangle$ then Alice will get outcomes 1 and 3 with probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}\{E_1|0\rangle\langle 0|\} &= 0, \\
\text{tr}\{E_3|0\rangle\langle 0|\} &= 1 - \text{tr}\{E_1|0\rangle\langle 0|\} - \text{tr}\{E_2|0\rangle\langle 0|\} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2(1+\sqrt{2})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So given that the state is $|+\rangle$, Alice will never misidentify the state and will fail to make an identification with probability $1/\sqrt{2}$.

(e) There is no POVM that increases the chances of making a correct identification without increasing the chance of making an incorrect identification.

First we will show that any POVM such that the probability of mis-identification is zero must have the form $E_1 = \alpha|1\rangle\langle 1|$ and $E_2 = \beta|-\rangle\langle -|$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Since we must have $\text{tr}\{E_1|0\rangle\langle 0|\} = \langle 0|E_1|0\rangle = 0$ for zero chance of mis-identification in the $|0\rangle$ case, we have that either $|0\rangle$ is in the nullspace of E_1 or E_1 projects $|0\rangle$ onto $|1\rangle$. In the second case, we would have $E_1 = \alpha|1\rangle\langle 0|$, which is not Hermitian and thus not positive so E_1 must map $|0\rangle$ to the zero vector. Then E_1 has rank 1 so it has the form $E_1 = \alpha|b\rangle\langle 1|$. Then since E_1 must be positive (and thus Hermitian) we have $E_1 = \alpha|1\rangle\langle 1|$, $\alpha > 0$ (note if $E_1 = 0$ then Alice will always fail to make an identification in the $|+\rangle$ case.) Similarly, $\text{tr}\{E_2|+\rangle\langle+\}| = \langle+\}|E_2|+\rangle = 0$ implies that $|+\rangle$ is either in the nullspace of E_2 or is projected onto $|-\rangle$, but the second case

results in E_2 not positive semidefinite so we must have $E_2 = \beta|- \rangle\langle -|$, $\beta > 0$.

Then $E_3 = I - E_1 - E_2$ as before so that $\sum_i E_i = I$. What is left to check is whether E_3 is positive semidefinite. Since E_3 is given by

$$\begin{vmatrix} (1-\lambda) - \beta/2 & \beta/2 \\ \beta/2 & (1-\lambda) - a - \beta/2 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 + (\alpha + \beta - 2)\lambda - \left(\alpha + \beta - \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} - 1\right) = 0$$

so the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda = \frac{-(\alpha + \beta - 2) \pm \sqrt{(\alpha + \beta - 2)^2 + 4\left(\alpha + \beta - \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} - 1\right)}}{2} = \frac{-(\alpha + \beta - 2) \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}{2}.$$

Since we want a POVM that fails to make an identification with smaller probability, we need $\text{tr}\{E_3|0\rangle\langle 0|\} = 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\text{tr}\{E_3|+\rangle\langle +|\} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, that is,

$$\alpha > 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad \beta > 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(\alpha + \beta - 2) &< 2 - 4\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}} = -2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} = 2(\sqrt{2}-2) = 2(\sqrt{2}-1) \\ \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} &> \sqrt{2\left(2\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2} = 2(\sqrt{2}-2) = 2(\sqrt{2}-1) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} > -(\alpha + \beta - 2)$ and so E_3 will have one negative eigenvalue and thus is not positive. Hence there is no POVM that gives Alice a better chance of making a correct identification without increasing the change of making an incorrect identification.

Problem 5: Robustness of GHZ and W states

Solution: (Due to Mandy Huo)

- (a) (i) Since $\text{Tr}(|i\rangle\langle j|) = \langle j|i\rangle$ is 0 for $i \neq j$ and 1 for $i = j$, we have $\text{Tr}_3(|GHZ_3\rangle\langle GHZ_3|) = \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle\langle 00| + |11\rangle\langle 11|)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(|GHZ_2\rangle\langle GHZ_2| \text{Tr}_3(|GHZ_3\rangle\langle GHZ_3|)) &= \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}[(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)(\langle 00| + \langle 11|)(|00\rangle\langle 00| + |11\rangle\langle 11|)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}[(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)(\langle 00| + \langle 11|)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Note that $\text{Tr}_3(|W_3\rangle\langle W_3|) = \frac{1}{3}(|10\rangle\langle 10| + |01\rangle\langle 01| + |00\rangle\langle 00| + |10\rangle\langle 01| + |01\rangle\langle 10|)$ so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(|W_2\rangle\langle W_2|\text{Tr}_3(|W_3\rangle\langle W_3|)) &= \frac{1}{6}\text{Tr}[(|10\rangle + |01\rangle)(2\langle 10| + 2\langle 01|)] \\ &= \frac{2}{3}\end{aligned}$$

(b) (i) We have $\text{Tr}_3(|GHZ_N\rangle\langle GHZ_N|) = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N-1}\langle 0|^{\otimes N-1} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N-1}\langle 1|^{\otimes N-1})$ so

$$\langle GHZ_N - 1|\text{Tr}_N(|GHZ_N\rangle\langle GHZ_N|) = \frac{1}{2}\langle GHZ_N - 1|$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(|GHZ_{N-1}\rangle\langle GHZ_{N-1}|\text{Tr}_3(|GHZ_N\rangle\langle GHZ_N|)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N-1} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N-1})(\langle 0|^{\otimes N-1} + \langle 1|^{\otimes N-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

(ii) We have $\langle W_{N-1}|\text{Tr}_N(|W_N\rangle\langle W_N|) = \frac{N-1}{N}\langle W_{N-1}|$ so

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(|W_{N-1}\rangle\langle W_{N-1}|\text{Tr}_3(|W_N\rangle\langle W_N|)) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}\text{Tr}(|10\dots 0\rangle + |010\dots 0\rangle + \dots + |0\dots 01\rangle)(\langle 10\dots 0| + \langle 010\dots 0| + \dots + \langle 0\dots 01|) \\ &= \frac{N-1}{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{N-1}{N} > \frac{1}{2}$ for $N > 2$ the overlap between the N -qubit W states is greater than between the N -qubit GHZ states so we can conclude that the W states are more “robust” to tracing out a qubit.

Problem 6: Universal Cloning

Solution: (Due to De Huang)

(a) (i) We can see ρ and $T_1(\rho)$ as matrices in $C^{2 \times 2}$ and $C^{4 \times 4}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(\rho) &= \rho \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & 0 & \rho_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{11} & 0 & \rho_{12} \\ \rho_{21} & 0 & \rho_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{21} & 0 & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= A_1 \rho A_1^\dagger + A_2 \rho A_2^\dagger,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It's easy to check that

$$A_1^\dagger A_1 + A_2^\dagger A_2 = \mathbb{I}.$$

Therefore T_1 is CPTP.

Indeed we can check that for any single qubit $|\psi\rangle$,

$$A_1|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\psi\rangle \otimes |0\rangle, \quad A_2|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\psi\rangle \otimes |1\rangle,$$

$$A_1^\dagger(|\psi\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\psi\rangle, \quad A_2^\dagger(|\psi\rangle \otimes |1\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\psi\rangle,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|A_1^\dagger + A_2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|A_2^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \\
&= |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) \\
&= |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}}{2} \\
&= T_1(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(A_1^\dagger A_1 + A_2^\dagger A_2)|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_1^\dagger(|\psi\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_2^\dagger(|\psi\rangle \otimes |1\rangle) = |\psi\rangle,$$

which again verifies our proof of CPTP.

The cloned qubit has density matrix $\frac{\mathbb{I}}{2}$, which actually carries no information. No matter what basis we use to measure the cloned qubit, we always get fair probability $\frac{1}{2}$ on both results. In the meanwhile, the first qubit is still in state $|\psi\rangle$.

(ii) Since $T_1(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\psi|\langle\psi|T_1(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle| &= \langle\psi|\langle\psi|T_1(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\psi|\langle\psi|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}}{2})|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\psi|\langle\psi|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\psi\rangle \times \langle\psi|\frac{\mathbb{I}}{2}|\psi\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) (i) Since $|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$ are orthogonal, we only need to verify that $U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$ and $U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$ are orthogonal.

Indeed, note that $|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, |0\rangle|0\rangle|1\rangle, |0\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle, |0\rangle|1\rangle|1\rangle, |1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, |1\rangle|0\rangle|1\rangle, |1\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle, |1\rangle|1\rangle|1\rangle$ are orthogonal to each other, since

$$U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}|0\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle,$$

we have

$$\langle 0|\langle 0|\langle 0|U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle = \langle 0|\langle 1|\langle 1|U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle = \langle 1|\langle 0|\langle 1|U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle = 0.$$

And since

$$U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}|0\rangle|1\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}|1\rangle|0\rangle|1\rangle,$$

we immediately have that $U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$ and $U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$ are orthogonal.

Now we may extend $\{|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, |1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle\}$ to

$$\{|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, |1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, \phi_3, \phi_4, \dots, \phi_8\}$$

as an orthogonal basis of all three-qubits, and also extend $\{U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle\}$ to

$$\{U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, \psi_3, \psi_4, \dots, \psi_8\}$$

as another orthogonal basis of all three-qubits. Then one example of extending U to a valid three-qubit unitary \tilde{U} would be

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U} : |0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle &\rightarrow U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, & \tilde{U} : |1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle &\rightarrow U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, \\ \tilde{U} : \phi_i &\rightarrow \psi_i, & i &= 3, 4, \dots, 8. \end{aligned}$$

It's easy to check that \tilde{U} is a valid three-qubit unitary because it linearly transforms an orthogonal basis to another orthogonal basis.

(ii) Let's define

$$|\Psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle + |1\rangle|0\rangle).$$

For an arbitrary state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U|\psi\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle &= \alpha U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle \\ &= \alpha\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle + |1\rangle|0\rangle)|1\rangle\right) \\ &\quad + \beta\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}(|1\rangle|0\rangle + |0\rangle|1\rangle)|0\rangle\right) \\ &= \alpha\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle|1\rangle\right) + \beta\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle|0\rangle\right) \\ &= \left(\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)|0\rangle + \left(\beta\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)|1\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U|\psi\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle\langle 0|\langle 0|\langle\psi|U^\dagger &= \left(\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)\left(\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 0|\langle 0| + \bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle\Psi_+|\right) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| \\ &\quad + \left(\beta\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)\left(\bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 1|\langle 1| + \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle\Psi_+|\right) \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \\ &\quad + \left(\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)\left(\bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 1|\langle 1| + \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle\Psi_+|\right) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 1| \\ &\quad + \left(\beta\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)\left(\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 0|\langle 0| + \bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle\Psi_+|\right) \otimes |1\rangle\langle 0|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) &= \text{tr}_3(U|\psi\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle\langle 0|\langle 0|\langle\psi|U^\dagger) \\ &= \left(\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)\left(\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 0|\langle 0| + \bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle\Psi_+|\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\beta\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle\right)\left(\bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 1|\langle 1| + \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle\Psi_+|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the success probability is

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \psi | \langle \psi | T_2(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle| &= \left| \langle \psi | \langle \psi | (\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle) (\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 0|\langle 0| + \bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle \Psi_+|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle \psi | \langle \psi | (\beta\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle) (\bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\langle 1|\langle 1| + \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\langle \Psi_+|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle \right| \\
&= |\langle \psi | \langle \psi | (\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle)|^2 \\
&\quad + |\langle \psi | \langle \psi | (\beta\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle)|^2 \\
&= ||\alpha|^2\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + |\beta|^2\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 + ||\beta|^2\bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + |\alpha|^2\bar{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{3}|\alpha|^2 + \frac{2}{3}|\beta|^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) (i) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_+^\dagger &= \mathbb{I}^\dagger - (|\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-|)^\dagger = \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| = P_+, \\
P_+P_+ &= (\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-|)(\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-|) \\
&= \mathbb{I} - 2|\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| + |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-||\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| \\
&= \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| \\
&= P_+.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using the result of (a)(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_3(\rho) &= \frac{2}{3}P_+(\rho \otimes \mathbb{I})P_+ \\
&= \frac{4}{3}P_+T_2(\rho)P_+ \\
&= \frac{4}{3}P_+(A_1\rho A_1^\dagger + A_2\rho A_2^\dagger)P_+^\dagger \\
&= \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_+A_1\right)\rho\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_+A_1\right)^\dagger + \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_+A_2\right)\rho\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_+A_2\right)^\dagger \\
&= V_1\rho V_1^\dagger + V_2\rho V_2^\dagger,
\end{aligned}$$

where A_1, A_2 are defined in (a)(i), and

$$V_1 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_+A_1, \quad V_2 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_+A_2.$$

If we see $P_+ = \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-|$ as a matrix in $C^{4 \times 4}$, then

$$P_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By direct calculation, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1^\dagger V_1 + V_2^\dagger V_2 &= \frac{4}{3} A_1^\dagger P_+^\dagger P_+ A_1 + \frac{4}{3} A_2^\dagger P_+^\dagger P_+ A_2 \\
&= \frac{4}{3} A_1^\dagger P_+ A_1 + \frac{4}{3} A_2^\dagger P_+ A_2 \\
&= \mathbb{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore T_3 is CPTP.

(ii) For any single-state $|\psi\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\psi|\langle\psi|\Psi_-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\langle\psi|0\rangle\langle\psi|1\rangle - \langle\psi|1\rangle\langle\psi|0\rangle) = 0, \\
\langle\psi|\langle\psi|P_+ &= \langle\psi|\langle\psi| - \langle\psi|\langle\psi|\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| = \langle\psi|\langle\psi|, \\
P_+|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle &= |\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-||\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

thus the success probability of T_3 is

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle\psi|\langle\psi|T_3(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle| &= \frac{2}{3}|\langle\psi|\langle\psi|P_+(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})P_+|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle| \\
&= \frac{2}{3}|\langle\psi|\langle\psi|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle| \\
&= \frac{2}{3}(\langle\psi||\psi\rangle\langle\psi||\psi\rangle)(\langle\psi|\mathbb{I}|\psi\rangle) \\
&= \frac{2}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) We can see that for any single-state $|\psi\rangle$,

$$|\langle\psi|\langle\psi|T_2(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle| = |\langle\psi|\langle\psi|T_3(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle| = \frac{2}{3},$$

that is, the map T_2 and T_3 have the same success probability. The essential reason for this result is that we actually have

$$T_2(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = T_3(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$$

for any single-state $|\psi\rangle$. To see this, we first rewrite $U|\psi\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
U|\psi\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle &= \alpha U|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle + \beta U|1\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle \\
&= \alpha(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle + |1\rangle|0\rangle)|1\rangle) \\
&\quad + \beta(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle|1\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}(|1\rangle|0\rangle + |0\rangle|1\rangle)|0\rangle) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\Phi_+\rangle(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\Phi_-\rangle(\alpha|0\rangle - \beta|1\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle(\alpha|1\rangle + \beta|0\rangle) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\Phi_+\rangle|\psi\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\Phi_-\rangle(Z|\psi\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\Psi_+\rangle(X|\psi\rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $|\Phi_+\rangle, |\Phi_-\rangle, |\Psi_+\rangle$ together with $|\Psi_-\rangle$ are the Bell basis, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle + |1\rangle|1\rangle), & |\Phi_-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle - |1\rangle|1\rangle), \\ |\Psi_+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle + |1\rangle|0\rangle), & |\Psi_-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle - |1\rangle|0\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) &= \text{tr}_3(U|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|U^\dagger) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\text{tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + \text{tr}(Z|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|Z)|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + \text{tr}(X|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|X)|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| \right. \\ &\quad + \text{tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|Z)|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + \text{tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|X)|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| + \text{tr}(Z|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_+| \\ &\quad \left. + \text{tr}(Z|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|X)|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_+| + \text{tr}(X|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + \text{tr}(X|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|Z)|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_-| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\langle\psi|\psi\rangle|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + \langle\psi|\psi\rangle|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + \langle\psi|\psi\rangle|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| \right. \\ &\quad + \langle\psi|Z|\psi\rangle|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + \langle\psi|X|\psi\rangle|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| + \langle\psi|Z|\psi\rangle|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_+| \\ &\quad \left. + \langle\psi|XZ|\psi\rangle|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_+| + \langle\psi|X|\psi\rangle|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + \langle\psi|ZX|\psi\rangle|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_-| \right) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + |\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| + |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| = \mathbb{I},$$

we have

$$\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| = |\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + |\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+|.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &T_3(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \\ &= \frac{2}{3}(\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-|)(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})(\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-|) \\ &= \frac{2}{3}(|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + |\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+|)(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})(|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + |\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+|) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \left(|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + |\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| \right. \\ &\quad + |\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| + |\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| \\ &\quad + |\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| + |\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| \\ &\quad + |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Phi_+\rangle\langle\Phi_+| + |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Phi_-\rangle\langle\Phi_-| \\ &\quad \left. + |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\langle\Phi_+|(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I})|\Phi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle\psi|(|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle\psi|\psi\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi_- | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I}) | \Phi_- \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | \psi \rangle, \\
\langle \Psi_+ | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I}) | \Psi_+ \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | \psi \rangle, \\
\langle \Phi_+ | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I}) | \Phi_- \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | (|0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | Z | \psi \rangle, \\
\langle \Phi_+ | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I}) | \Psi_+ \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | (|0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle, \\
\langle \Phi_- | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes \mathbb{I}) | \Psi_+ \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | (|1\rangle\langle 0| - |0\rangle\langle 1|) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | XZ | \psi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
T_3(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\langle \psi | \psi \rangle | \Phi_+ \rangle \langle \Phi_+ | + \langle \psi | \psi \rangle | \Phi_- \rangle \langle \Phi_- | + \langle \psi | \psi \rangle | \Psi_+ \rangle \langle \Psi_+ | \right. \\
&\quad + \langle \psi | Z | \psi \rangle | \Phi_+ \rangle \langle \Phi_- | + \langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle | \Phi_+ \rangle \langle \Psi_+ | + \langle \psi | Z | \psi \rangle | \Phi_- \rangle \langle \Phi_+ | \\
&\quad \left. + \langle \psi | XZ | \psi \rangle | \Phi_- \rangle \langle \Psi_+ | + \langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle | \Psi_+ \rangle \langle \Phi_+ | + \langle \psi | ZX | \psi \rangle | \Psi_+ \rangle \langle \Phi_- | \right) \\
&= T_2(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)
\end{aligned}$$

It's done.