



CS21 Decidability and Tractability

Lecture 14
February 6, 2023

Outline

- undecidable problems
 - computation histories
 - surprising contrasts between decidable/undecidable
- Rice's Theorem
- Post Correspondence Problem

Dec. and undec. problems

- the boundary between decidability and undecidability is often quite delicate
 - seemingly related problems
 - one decidable
 - other undecidable
- We will see two examples of this phenomenon next.

Computation histories

- Recall configuration of a TM: string uqv with $u, v \in \Gamma^*$, $q \in Q$
- The sequence of configurations M goes through on input w is a **computation history of M on input w**
 - may be *accepting*, or *rejecting*
 - reserve the term for halting computations
 - nondeterministic machines may have several computation histories for a given input.

Linear Bounded Automata

LBA definition: TM that is prohibited from moving head off right side of input.

– machine prevents such a move, just like a TM prevents a move off left of tape

- How many possible configurations for a LBA M on input w with $|w| = n$, m states, and $p = |\Gamma|$?

– counting gives: mnp^n

Dec. and undec. problems

- two problems we have seen with respect to TMs, now regarding LBAs:

- LBA acceptance:

$$A_{\text{LBA}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle : \text{LBA } M \text{ accepts input } w \}$$

- LBA emptiness:

$$E_{\text{LBA}} = \{ \langle M \rangle : \text{LBA } M \text{ has } L(M) = \emptyset \}$$

- Both decidable? both undecidable? one decidable?

Dec. and undec. problems

Theorem: A_{LBA} is decidable.

Proof:

- input $\langle M, w \rangle$ where M is a LBA
- key: only mnp^n configurations
- if M hasn't halted after this many steps, it must be looping forever.
- simulate M for mnp^n steps
- if it halts, accept or reject accordingly,
- else reject since it must be looping

Dec. and undec. problems

Theorem: E_{LBA} is undecidable.

Proof:

- reduce from $\text{co-}A_{TM}$ (i.e. show $\text{co-}A_{TM} \leq_m E_{LBA}$)
- what should $f(\langle M, w \rangle)$ produce?
- Idea:
 - produce LBA B that accepts exactly the **accepting computation histories** of M on input w

Dec. and undec. problems

Proof:

– $f(\langle M, w \rangle) = \langle B \rangle$ described below

on input x , check if x has form

$$\#C_1\#C_2\#C_3\#\dots\#C_k\#$$

- check that C_1 is the start configuration for M on input w
- check that $C_i \Rightarrow^1 C_{i+1}$
- check that C_k is an accepting configuration for M

- is B an LBA?
- is f computable?
- YES maps to YES?

$\langle M, w \rangle \in \text{CO-}A_{\text{TM}} \Rightarrow$
 $f(M, w) \in E_{\text{LBA}}$

- NO maps to NO?

$\langle M, w \rangle \notin \text{CO-}A_{\text{TM}} \Rightarrow$
 $f(M, w) \notin E_{\text{LBA}}$

Dec. and undec. problems

- two problems regarding Context-Free Grammars:

- does a CFG generate all strings:

$$ALL_{CFG} = \{ \langle G \rangle : G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) = \Sigma^* \}$$

- CFG emptiness:

$$E_{CFG} = \{ \langle G \rangle : G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) = \emptyset \}$$

- Both decidable? both undecidable? one decidable?

Dec. and undec. problems

Theorem: E_{CFG} is decidable.

Proof:

– observation: for each nonterminal A , the set

$$S_A = \{w : A \Rightarrow^* w\}$$

is non-empty iff there is some rule:

$$A \rightarrow x$$

and for all non-terminals B in string x , $S_B \neq \emptyset$

Dec. and undec. problems

Proof:

- on input $\langle G \rangle$
- mark all terminals in G
- repeat until no new non-terminals get marked:
 - if there is a production $A \rightarrow x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_k$
 - and each symbol x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k has been marked
 - then mark A
- if S marked, reject ($G \notin E_{CFG}$), else accept ($G \in E_{CFG}$).
- terminates? correct?

Dec. and undec. problems

Theorem: ALL_{CFG} is undecidable.

Proof:

- reduce from $co-A_{TM}$ (i.e. show $co-A_{TM} \leq_m ALL_{CFG}$)
- what should $f(\langle M, w \rangle)$ produce?
- Idea:
 - produce CFG G that generates all strings that are **not accepting computation histories** of M on w

Dec. and undec. problems

Proof:

- build a NPDA, then convert to CFG
- want to accept strings **not** of this form,

$$\#C_1\#C_2\#C_3\#\dots\#C_k\#$$

plus strings of this form but where

- C_1 is **not** the start config. of M on input w , or
- C_k is **not** an accept. config. of M on input w , or
- C_i does **not** yield in one step C_{i+1} for some i

Dec. and undec. problems

Proof:

- our NPDA nondeterministically checks one of:
 - C_1 is **not** the start config. of M on input w , or
 - C_k is **not** an accept. config. of M on input w , or
 - C_i does **not** yield in one step C_{i+1} for some i
 - input has fewer than two $\#$'s
- details of first two?
- to check third condition:
 - nondeterministically guess C_i starting position
 - how to check that C_i doesn't yield in 1 step C_{i+1} ?

Dec. and undec. problems

Proof:

- checking:
 - C_i does **not** yield in one step C_{i+1} for some i
- push C_i onto stack
- at #, start popping C_i and compare to C_{i+1}
 - accept if mismatch away from head location, or
 - symbols around head changed in a way inconsistent with M 's transition function.
- is everything described possible with NPDA?

Dec. and undec. problems

Proof:

- Problem: cannot compare C_i to C_{i+1}
- could prove in same way that proved $\{ww: w \in \Sigma^*\}$ not context-free
- recall that $\{ww^R: w \in \Sigma^*\}$ **is** context-free
- free to tweak construction of G in the reduction
- solution: write computation history:

$$\#C_1\#C_2^R\#C_3\#C_4^R\dots\#C_k\#$$

Dec. and undec. problems

Proof:

– $f(\langle M, w \rangle) = \langle G \rangle$ equiv. to NPDA below:

on input x , accept if not of form:

$\#C_1\#C_2^R\#C_3\#C_4^R\dots\#C_k\#$

- accept if C_1 is not the start configuration for M on input w
- accept if check that C_i does not yield in one step C_{i+1}
- accept if C_k is not an accepting configuration for M

- is f computable?
- YES maps to YES?

$\langle M, w \rangle \in \text{co-}A_{\text{TM}} \Rightarrow$
 $f(M, w) \in \text{ALL}_{\text{CFG}}$

- NO maps to NO?

$\langle M, w \rangle \notin \text{co-}A_{\text{TM}} \Rightarrow$
 $f(M, w) \notin \text{ALL}_{\text{CFG}}$