

On Singularity Formation of a 3D Model for Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations

Thomas Y. Hou* Zuoqiang Shi† Shu Wang‡

December 7, 2009

Abstract

We investigate the singularity formation of a 3D model that was recently proposed by Hou and Lei in [8] for axisymmetric 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with swirl. The main difference between the 3D model of Hou and Lei and the reformulated 3D Navier-Stokes equations is that the convection term is neglected in the 3D model. This model shares many properties of the 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. One of the main results of this paper is that we prove rigorously the finite time singularity formation of the 3D model for a class of initial boundary value problems with smooth initial data of finite energy. We also prove the global regularity for a class of smooth initial data.

Key words: Finite time singularities, nonlinear nonlocal system, stabilizing effect of convection, incompressible Navier-Stokes equations.

1 Introduction

The question of whether a solution of the 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations can develop a finite time singularity from smooth initial data with finite energy is one of the most outstanding mathematical open problems [4, 13, 16]. Most regularity analysis for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations relies on energy estimates. Due to the incompressibility condition, the convection term does not contribute to the energy norm of the velocity field or any L^p ($1 < p \leq \infty$) norm of the vorticity field. As a result, the main effort has been to use the diffusion term to control the nonlinear vortex stretching term without making use of the convection term explicitly.

*Applied and Comput. Math, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125. *Email:* hou@acm.caltech.edu.

†Applied and Comput. Math, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125. *Email:* shi@acm.caltech.edu.

‡College of Applied Sciences, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China. *Email:* wang-shu@bjut.edu.cn

In a recent paper by Hou and Lei [8], the authors investigated the stabilizing effect of convection by constructing a new 3D model for axisymmetric 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with swirl. Specifically, their 3D model is given below.

$$\partial_t u_1 = \nu(\partial_r^2 + \frac{3}{r}\partial_r + \partial_z^2)u_1 + 2\partial_z\psi_1 u_1, \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t \omega_1 = \nu(\partial_r^2 + \frac{3}{r}\partial_r + \partial_z^2)\omega_1 + \partial_z((u_1)^2), \quad (2)$$

$$-(\partial_r^2 + \frac{3}{r}\partial_r + \partial_z^2)\psi_1 = \omega_1. \quad (3)$$

Note that (1)-(3) is already a closed system. The only difference between this 3D model and the reformulated Navier-Stokes equations is that the convection term is neglected in the model. If one adds the convection term back to the left hand side of (1) and (2), one would recover the full Navier-Stokes equations. This model preserves almost all the properties of the full 3D Navier-Stokes equations, including the energy identity for strong solutions of the 3D model and the divergence free property of the reconstructed 3D velocity field given by $u^\theta = ru_1$, $u^r = -\partial_z(r\psi_1)$, $u^z = \frac{1}{r}\partial_r(r^2\psi_1)$. Moreover, they proved the corresponding non-blowup criterion of Beale-Kato-Majda type [1] as well as a non-blowup criterion of Prodi-Serrin type [14, 15] for the model. In a subsequent paper, they proved a new partial regularity result for the model [9] which is an analogue of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theory [2] for the full Navier-Stokes equations.

Despite the striking similarity at the theoretical level between the 3D model and the Navier-Stokes equations, the former has a completely different behavior from the full Navier-Stokes equations. In [8], the authors presented numerical evidence which supports that the 3D model may develop a potential finite time singularity. They further studied the mechanism that leads to these singular events in the 3D model and how the convection term in the full Navier-Stokes equations destroys such a mechanism (see also [5]).

One of the main results of this paper is that we prove rigorously the finite time singularity formation of this 3D model for a large class of initial boundary value problems with smooth initial data of finite energy. In our analysis, we consider the initial boundary value problem of the generalized 3D model which has the following form [8] (we drop the subscript 1 and substitute (3) into (2)):

$$u_t = 2u\psi_z, \quad (4)$$

$$-\Delta\psi_t = (u^2)_z, \quad (5)$$

where Δ is a n -dimensional Laplace operator with $(\mathbf{x}, z) \equiv (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z)$. Our results in this paper apply to any dimension greater than or equal to two ($n \geq 2$). To simplify our presentation, we only present our analysis for $n = 3$. We consider the generalized 3D model in both a bounded domain and in a semi-infinite domain with mixed Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. One of the main results of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}} = (0, a) \times (0, a)$, $\Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, b)$ and $\Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0\}$. Assume that $u_0 > 0$ for $(\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega$, $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, and $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, we assume that ψ satisfies the following mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition:*

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (6)$$

with $\beta > \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a} \left(\frac{1+e^{-2\pi b/a}}{1-e^{-2\pi b/a}} \right)$. Define $\phi(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{e^{-\alpha(z-b)} + e^{\alpha(z-b)}}{2} \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_1}{a}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_2}{a}\right)$ where α satisfies $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{2}\pi/a$ and $2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 \frac{e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b}}{\alpha(e^{\alpha b} + e^{-\alpha b})} = \beta$. If u_0 and ψ_0 satisfy the following condition:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz > 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi_{0z} \phi d\mathbf{x} dz > 0, \quad (7)$$

then the solution of the 3D model (4)-(5) will develop a finite time singularity in the H^2 norm.

The analysis of the finite time singularity for the 3D model is rather subtle. The main technical difficulty is that this is a multi-dimensional nonlinear nonlocal system. Currently, there is no systematic method of analysis to study singularity formation of a nonlinear nonlocal system. The key issue is under what condition the solution u has a strong alignment with the solution ψ_z dynamically. If u and ψ_z have a strong alignment for long enough time, then the right hand side of the u -equation would develop a quadratic nonlinearity dynamically, which would lead to a finite time blowup. Note that ψ is coupled to u in a nonlinear and nonlocal fashion. It is not clear whether u and ψ_z will develop such a nonlinear alignment dynamically. As a matter of fact, not all initial boundary conditions of the 3D model would lead to finite time blowup. One of the interesting results we obtain in this paper is that we prove the global regularity of the 3D inviscid model for a class of smooth initial boundary data. Moreover, we obtain a relatively sharp global bound on the H^s -norm ($s \geq 4$) of the solution in terms of its initial condition.

One of the main contributions of this paper is that we introduce an effective method of analysis to study singularity formation of this nonlinear nonlocal multi-dimensional system. There are several important steps in our analysis. The first one is that we renormalize the u -equation so that the right hand side of the renormalized u -equation becomes linear. This is accomplished by dividing both sides of (4) by u and introducing $\log(u)$ as a new variable. This is possible since $u_0 > 0$ in Ω implies that $u > 0$ in Ω as long as the solution remains smooth. The renormalized system now has the form:

$$(\log(u))_t = 2\psi_z, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega, \quad (8)$$

$$-\Delta\psi_t = (u^2)_z. \quad (9)$$

This idea is similar in spirit to the renormalized Boltzmann equation introduced by DiPerna and Lions in their study of the global renormalized weak solution of the Boltzmann equations [3]. The second step is to work with the weak formulation of the renormalized model

(8)-(9) by introducing an appropriately chosen weight function ϕ as our test function. How to choose this weight function ϕ is crucial in obtaining the nonlinear estimate that is required to prove finite time blowup of the nonlocal system. Guided by our analysis, we look for a smooth and positive eigen-function in Ω that satisfies the following two conditions simultaneously:

$$-\Delta\phi = \lambda_1\phi, \quad \partial_z^2\phi = \lambda_2\phi, \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega. \quad (10)$$

The function ϕ defined in Theorem 1.1 satisfies both of these conditions. We remark that such eigen-function exists only for space dimension greater than or equal to two. In the third step, we multiply ϕ to (8) and ϕ_z to (9), integrate over Ω , and perform integration by parts. We obtain by using (10) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x}dz = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x}dz, \quad (11)$$

$$\lambda_1 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x}dz = \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x}dz. \quad (12)$$

All the boundary terms resulting from integration by parts vanish by using the boundary condition of ψ , the fact that $u|_{z=0} = u|_{z=b} = 0$, the property of our eigen-function ϕ , and the specific choice of α defined in Theorem 1.1. Substituting (12) into (11) gives the crucial estimate for our blowup analysis:

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x}dz = \frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x}dz. \quad (13)$$

Further, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \log(u) \phi d\mathbf{x}dz &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\log(u))^+ \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \leq \int_{\Omega} u \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi u^2 d\mathbf{x}dz \right)^{1/2} \equiv \frac{2a}{\pi\sqrt{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi u^2 d\mathbf{x}dz \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Integrating (13) twice in time and using (14), we establish a sharp nonlinear dynamic estimate for $(\int_{\Omega} \phi u^2 d\mathbf{x}dz)^{1/2}$, which enables us to prove finite time blowup of the 3D model.

This method of analysis is quite robust and captures very well the nonlinear interaction of the multi-dimensional nonlocal system. As a result, it provides a very effective method to analyze the finite time blowup of the 3D model and gives a relatively sharp blowup condition on the initial and boundary values for the 3D model. Using this approach, we prove finite time blowup of the 3D model for a large class of initial boundary value problems in both bounded and semi-infinite domains. We have extended this method of analysis to study a generalized 3D model by changing the sign in front of the Laplace operator in (5).

We also study singularity formation of the 3D model with $\beta = 0$ in (6). This case is interesting because the strong solution of the corresponding 3D model satisfies an energy identity. In this case, we can establish a finite time blowup under an additional condition :

$$\int_0^a \int_0^a (\psi - \psi_0)|_{\Gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_1}{a}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x_2}{a}\right) d\mathbf{x} < c_0 \int_{\Omega} \psi_{0z} \phi d\mathbf{x}dz,$$

as long as the solution remains regular, where $c_0 > 0$ depends only on the size of the domain.

We remark that although the 3D model using the mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition with $\beta \neq 0$ does not conserve energy exactly, we prove that the energy remains bounded as long as the solution is smooth and $\beta < c_0$ for some $c_0 > 0$. We also establish the local well-posedness of the initial boundary problem with the mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition. Our numerical study shows that the energy is still bounded up to the blowup time even if $\beta > c_0$. Our numerical study also suggests that the nature of the singularity in the case of $\beta > c_0$ is qualitatively similar to that in the case of $\beta < c_0$.

Another interesting result is that we prove finite time blowup of the 3D model with partial viscosity. Under similar assumptions on u_0 , ψ_0 and ω_0 as in the inviscid case and by assuming that ω satisfies a boundary condition similar to ψ , we prove that the 3D model with partial viscosity

$$u_t = 2u\psi_z, \tag{15}$$

$$\omega_t = (u^2)_z + \nu\Delta\omega, \tag{16}$$

$$-\Delta\psi = \omega, \tag{17}$$

develops a finite time singularity. We conjecture that the fully viscous 3D model would also develop a finite time singularity. For the fully viscous model, we need to propose a boundary condition for u . Currently we have not been able to prove finite time blowup of the fully viscous model using our method of analysis unless we impose a Dirichlet-Neumann boundary condition on the renormalized variable $\log(u)$, which is not very natural.

We remark that Hou, Li, Shi, Wang and Yu [10] have recently proved the finite time singularity of a one-dimensional nonlinear nonlocal system:

$$u_t = 2uv, \quad v_t = H(u^2), \tag{18}$$

where H is the Hilbert transform. This is a simplified system of the original 3D model along the symmetry axis. Here v plays the same role as ψ_z . The singularity of this nonlocal system is remarkably similar to that of the 3D model. The stabilizing effect of convection has also been studied by Hou and Li in a recent paper [7] via a new 1D model which can be used to construct a family of exact solutions of the 3D Euler or Navier-Stokes equations. They showed that convection plays an essential role in canceling the destabilizing vortex stretching in the new 1D model and obtained an *a priori* pointwise estimate for a Lyapunov function which characterizes the derivatives of the vorticity in their model.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study some properties of the 3D model. In section 3, we prove the finite time blowup of the inviscid 3D model with mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary conditions. In Section 4, we analyze the finite time blowup of the 3D model with partial viscosity. Section 5 is devoted to analyzing the finite time blowup of the 3D model with boundary conditions that conserve energy. We prove the global regularity of the 3D model for a class of smooth initial boundary value problems in Section 6. A technical lemma is proved in Appendix A.

2 Properties of the 3D model

2.1 Local well-posedness in H^s

In this section, we will establish the local well-posedness of the initial boundary problem of the 3D model with the mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition. We will present our analysis for the semi-infinite domain using the Sobolev space H^s . The same result is also true in a bounded domain.

Consider the 3D model with the following mixed initial boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = 2u\psi_z, \\ -\Delta\psi_t = (u^2)_z, \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, \infty) \quad (19)$$

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_{\Gamma} = 0,$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}, z) \geq 0,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}} = (0, a) \times (0, a)$, $\Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0\}$ and $\Delta = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$.

The local well-posedness analysis depends on an important property of the elliptic operator with the mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition.

Lemma 2.1 *There exists a unique solution $v \in H^s(\Omega)$ to the boundary value problem:*

$$-\Delta v = f, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega, \quad (20)$$

$$v|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (v_z + \beta v)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (21)$$

if $\beta \in S_{\infty} \equiv \{\beta \mid \beta \neq \frac{\pi|k|}{a} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$, $f \in H^{s-2}(\Omega)$ with $s \geq 2$ and $f|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0$. Moreover we have

$$\|v\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq C_s \|f\|_{H^{s-2}(\Omega)}, \quad (22)$$

where C_s is a constant depending on s , $|k| = \sqrt{k_1^2 + k_2^2}$.

We defer the proof of Lemma 2.1 to Appendix A.

Remark 2.1 *We remark that we can prove the same result as in Lemma 2.1 for a bounded domain $\Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, b)$ with the same boundary condition by assuming that $\beta \in S_b$ where*

$$S_b = \{\beta \mid \beta \neq \frac{\pi|k|}{a}, \text{ and } \beta \neq \frac{\pi|k|}{a} \left(\frac{1 + e^{-2|k|\pi b/a}}{1 - e^{-2|k|\pi b/a}} \right) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}. \quad (23)$$

We also need the following well-known Sobolev inequality [12].

Lemma 2.2 *Let $u, v \in H^s(\Omega)$ with $s > 3/2$. We have*

$$\|uv\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq c \|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^s(\Omega)}. \quad (24)$$

Now we can state the local well-posedness result for the 3D model with the mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition.

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^s(\Omega)$, $s > 3/2$, and $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Moreover, we assume that $\beta \in S_\infty$ (or S_b) as defined in Lemma 2.1 and ψ satisfies the following mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition:*

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_\Gamma = 0. \quad (25)$$

Then there exists a finite time $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\Omega)}, \|\psi_{0z}\|_{H^s(\Omega)}) > 0$ such that the system (4)-(5) with boundary condition (25) has a unique solution, $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, T], H^s(\Omega))$.

Proof Let $w = u^2$, then we obtain an equivalent system for w and ψ as follows:

$$w_t = 4w\psi_z, \quad (26)$$

$$-\Delta\psi_t = w_z. \quad (27)$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\|w_t\|_{H^s} \leq c_s \|w\|_{H^s} \|\psi_z\|_{H^s}, \quad (28)$$

$$\|\psi_t\|_{H^{s+1}} \leq c_s \|w_z\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq c_s \|w\|_{H^s}. \quad (29)$$

On the other hand, for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ with $\alpha_j \geq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \partial^\alpha v, \partial^\alpha v \rangle = 2 \langle \partial^\alpha v_t, \partial^\alpha v \rangle \leq 2 \|\partial^\alpha v_t\|_{L^2} \|\partial^\alpha v\|_{L^2}. \quad (30)$$

Summing over $|\alpha| \leq s$ gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{H^s}^2 \leq 2 \|v_t\|_{H^s} \|v\|_{H^s}, \quad (31)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{H^s} \leq \|v_t\|_{H^s}. \quad (32)$$

Using (32), we obtain

$$\|w_t\|_{H^s} \geq \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{H^s}, \quad \|\psi_t\|_{H^{s+1}} \geq \|\psi_{tz}\|_{H^s} \geq \frac{d}{dt} \|\psi_z\|_{H^s}. \quad (33)$$

Substituting (33) into (28)-(29), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{H^s} \leq c_s \|w\|_{H^s} \|\psi_z\|_{H^s}, \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\psi_z\|_{H^s} \leq c_s \|w\|_{H^s}. \quad (35)$$

From the above *a priori* estimate, we can prove the local well-posedness of the 3D model with boundary condition (25). \square

Remark 2.2 *We remark that the above analysis can be easily modified to prove the local well-posedness of the initial boundary value problem of the partial viscous system (15)-(17) for initial condition $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^s(\Omega)$ and $\omega_0 \in H^{s-1}(\Omega)$ with $s > 3/2$ (see also [6]).*

2.2 Bounded energy for the 3D model with mixed boundary conditions

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, b)$ and $\Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0\}$. Assume $u_0|_{z=0} = u_0|_{z=b} = 0$, $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$ and ψ satisfies the following mixed Dirichlet Neumann boundary condition:*

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_{\Gamma} = 0. \quad (36)$$

Then the strong solution of the 3D model (4)-(5) satisfies the following identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} (u^2 + 2|\nabla\psi|^2) d\mathbf{x}dz - 2\beta \int_0^a \int_0^a \psi^2|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} \right) = 0. \quad (37)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^2 + 2(1 - \beta b)|\nabla\psi|^2) d\mathbf{x}dz \leq \int_{\Omega} (u_0^2 + 2|\nabla\psi_0|^2) d\mathbf{x}dz - 2\beta \int_0^a \int_0^a \psi_0^2|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (38)$$

Remark 2.3 *One immediate consequence of the above proposition is that if $\beta < 1/b$, both $\int_{\Omega} u^2 d\mathbf{x}dz$ and $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla\psi|^2 d\mathbf{x}dz$ are bounded.*

Proof Multiplying (4) by u and integrating over Ω yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^2 d\mathbf{x}dz = 4 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \psi_z d\mathbf{x}dz. \quad (39)$$

Next, we multiply (5) by ψ and integrate over Ω to obtain

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta\psi_t \psi d\mathbf{x}dz = \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_z \psi d\mathbf{x}dz. \quad (40)$$

Integrating by parts and using boundary condition (25), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\psi|^2 d\mathbf{x}dz + 2 \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_{zt} \psi|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} = -2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \psi_z d\mathbf{x}dz. \quad (41)$$

Multiplying (41) by 2 and adding the resulting equation to (39) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + 2|\nabla\psi|^2) d\mathbf{x}dz &= -4 \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_{zt} \psi|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= 4\beta \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t \psi|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= 2\beta \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi^2|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which gives (37). On the other hand, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi^2|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\int_0^b \psi_z dz \right)^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq b \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \int_0^b \psi_z^2 dz d\mathbf{x} \leq b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\psi|^2 d\mathbf{x}dz. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^2 + 2|\nabla\psi|^2) \, d\mathbf{x}dz - 2\beta \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi^2|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} \geq \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + 2(1 - \beta b)|\nabla\psi|^2) \, d\mathbf{x}dz. \quad (44)$$

Combining (37) with (44), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^2 + 2(1 - \beta b)|\nabla\psi|^2) \, d\mathbf{x}dz \leq \int_{\Omega} (u_0^2 + 2|\nabla\psi_0|^2) \, d\mathbf{x}dz - 2\beta \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_0^2|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (45)$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. \square

3 Blow-up of the 3D inviscid model

In this section, we will prove that the 3D model (19) develops a finite time singularity for a class of smooth initial data with finite energy. The finite time blowup is proved in a semi-infinite and a bounded domain with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions.

3.1 Blow-up in a semi-infinite domain

First, we consider the initial boundary value problem (19)-(20) in a semi-infinite domain with $\Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, \infty)$. The main result is stated in the theorem below:

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $u_0|_{\Omega} > 0$ and $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$. Further we assume that $\beta > \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}$ and $\beta \in S_{\infty}$ as defined in Lemma 2.1. Choose $\alpha = \frac{2\pi^2}{\beta a^2}$, and define*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = e^{-\alpha z} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega, \quad (46)$$

$$A = \int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi \, d\mathbf{x}dz, \quad B = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_{0z} \phi \, d\mathbf{x}dz, \quad D = \frac{\pi \alpha^{5/2}}{a(2(\frac{\pi}{a})^2 - \alpha^2)}, \quad I_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^3 + 1}}.$$

If $A > 0$ and $B > 0$, then the 3D model (19)-(20) will develop a finite time singularity in the H^2 -norm no later than

$$T^* = \left(\frac{2DB\sqrt{\alpha}\pi}{3} \frac{1}{2a} \right)^{-1/3} I_{\infty}.$$

Proof By Theorem 2.1, we know that there exists a finite time $T > 0$ such that the system (19) has a unique smooth solution, $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, T], H^2(\Omega))$. Let T_b be the largest time such that the system (19) with initial condition u_0, ψ_0 has a smooth solution $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, T_b]; H^2(\Omega))$. We claim that $T_b < \infty$. We prove this by contradiction.

Suppose that $T_b = \infty$, this means that for the given initial data u_0, ψ_0 , the system (19) has a globally smooth solution $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega))$. Multiplying ϕ_z to the both sides of (5) and integrating over Ω , we get

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi_t \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x}dz = \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_z \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x}dz. \quad (47)$$

Note that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ as long as the solution remains smooth. By integrating by parts and using the boundary condition on ψ and the property of ϕ to eliminate the boundary terms, we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} \psi_{zt} \Delta \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_{zt} \phi_z|_{z=0} \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \phi|_{z=0} \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi_{zz} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (48)$$

Substituting ϕ into the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2 \right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz &= \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\alpha \psi_{zt} + \frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} \psi_t \right) \Big|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz + \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\alpha \beta - \frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} \right) \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

By the definition of α , we have

$$\alpha \beta - \frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{2\pi^2}{\beta a^2} < \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}, \quad (50)$$

since $\beta > \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}$. Thus the boundary term on the right hand side of (49) vanishes. We get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (51)$$

Next, we multiply ϕ to (8) and integrate over Ω . We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (52)$$

Combining (51) with (52), we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (53)$$

Integrating the above equation twice in time, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz &= \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \right) \, d\tau \, ds + A + Bt \\ &\geq \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \right) \, d\tau \, ds + Bt. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Note that $u > 0$ for $(\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega$ and $t < T_b$. It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\log u)^+ \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \leq \int_{\Omega} u \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{2a}{\sqrt{\alpha}\pi} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where $(\log u)^+ = \max(\log u, 0)$. Combining (54) with (55) gives us the crucial nonlinear dynamic estimate:

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\pi}{2a} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \right) d\tau ds + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\pi}{2a} Bt. \quad (56)$$

Define

$$F(t) = \frac{\pi\alpha^{5/2}}{a(2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2)} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \right) d\tau ds + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\pi}{2a} Bt. \quad (57)$$

Then we have $F(0) = 0$ and $F_t(0) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\pi}{2a} B > 0$. By differentiating (57) twice in time and substituting the resulting equation into (56), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 F}{dt^2} = \frac{\pi\alpha^{5/2}}{a(2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2)} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \geq DF^2, \quad (58)$$

where $D = \frac{\pi\alpha^{5/2}}{a(2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2)}$. Note that $F_t = D \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x}dz \right) ds + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\pi}{2a} B > 0$. Multiplying F_t to (58) and integrating in time, we get

$$\frac{dF}{dt} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2D}{3} F^3 + C}, \quad (59)$$

where $C = (F_t(0))^2 = \frac{\alpha\pi^2}{4a^2} B^2$. Define

$$I(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y^3 + 1}}, \quad J = \left(\frac{3C}{2D} \right)^{1/3}.$$

Then, integrating (59) in time gives

$$I\left(\frac{F(t)}{J}\right) \geq \frac{\sqrt{C}t}{J}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*]. \quad (60)$$

Note that both I and F are strictly increasing functions, and $I(x)$ is uniformly bounded for all $x > 0$ while the right hand side increases linearly in time. It follows from (60) that $F(t)$ must blow up no later than

$$\frac{J}{\sqrt{C}} I_{\infty} = T^*.$$

This contradicts with the assumption that the 3D model has a globally smooth solution. This contradiction implies that the solution of the system (19) must develop a finite time singularity no later than T^* . \square

Remark 3.1 As we can see in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the same conclusion still holds if we replace the boundary condition

$$(\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_{z=0} = 0,$$

by the following integral constraint

$$\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} (\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_{z=0} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a} d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

3.2 Blow-up in a bounded domain

In this subsection, we will prove finite time blow-up of the 3D model in a bounded domain. First, we formulate the initial boundary problem of the 3D model as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = 2u\psi_z, \\ -\Delta\psi_t = (u^2)_z, \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, b), \quad (61)$$

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (62)$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}, z) \geq 0,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}} = (0, a) \times (0, a)$, $\Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0\}$. We can get a similar blow-up result which is summarized below:

Theorem 3.2 Assume that $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $u_0|_{\Omega} > 0$, and $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$. Further, we assume that $\beta \in S_b$ as defined in Lemma 2.1 and satisfies $\beta > \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a} \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{2}\pi b/a} + e^{-\sqrt{2}\pi b/a}}{e^{\sqrt{2}\pi b/a} - e^{-\sqrt{2}\pi b/a}} \right)$. Define

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = \frac{e^{-\alpha(z-b)} + e^{\alpha(z-b)}}{2} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega, \quad (63)$$

where α satisfies $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{2}\pi/a$ and $2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 \frac{e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b}}{\alpha(e^{\alpha b} + e^{-\alpha b})} = \beta$. Let

$$A = \int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz, \quad B = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_{0z} \phi d\mathbf{x} dz, \quad D = \frac{\pi \alpha^{5/2}}{a(2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2)}, \quad I_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{x^3 + 1}}.$$

If $A > 0$ and $B > 0$, then the solution of (61)-(62) will blow up no later than

$$T^* = \left(\frac{2DB \sqrt{\alpha}\pi}{3} \frac{1}{2a} \right)^{-1/3} I_{\infty}.$$

Proof We follow the same strategy as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 2.1, we know that there exists a finite time $T > 0$ such that the system (61) has a unique smooth solution, $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, T], H^2(\Omega))$ for $0 \leq t < T$. Let T_b be the largest time such that the system (19) with initial condition u_0, ψ_0 has a smooth solution $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, T_b]; H^2(\Omega))$. We claim that $T_b < \infty$. We prove this by contradiction.

Suppose that $T_b = \infty$, this means that for the given initial data u_0, ψ_0 , the system (61) has a globally smooth solution $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega))$. Multiplying ϕ_z to the both sides of the ψ -equation and integrating over Ω , we get

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi_t \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_z \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (64)$$

Note that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ as long as the solution remains smooth. By integrating by parts and using the boundary condition on ψ and the property of ϕ to eliminate the boundary terms, we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} \psi_{zt} \Delta \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_{zt} \phi_z|_{z=0} \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \phi|_{z=0} \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi_{zz} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (65)$$

Substituting ϕ to (65) and using the boundary condition for ψ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(2\frac{\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2\right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \\ &= \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b}) \psi_{zt} + \frac{\pi^2}{a^2} (e^{\alpha b} + e^{-\alpha b}) \psi_t\right) \Big|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz + \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b}) \beta - \frac{\pi^2}{a^2} (e^{\alpha b} + e^{-\alpha b})\right) \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz + \frac{\alpha}{2} (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b}) \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\beta - 2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 \frac{e^{\alpha b} + e^{-\alpha b}}{\alpha(e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})}\right) \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad (66) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}$. Let $h(\alpha) = 2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 \frac{e^{\alpha b} + e^{-\alpha b}}{\alpha(e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})}$. Direct computations show that $\frac{d}{d\alpha} h(\alpha) < 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Thus we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a} \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{2}\pi b/a} + e^{-\sqrt{2}\pi b/a}}{e^{\sqrt{2}\pi b/a} - e^{-\sqrt{2}\pi b/a}}\right) = h\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}\right) < h(\alpha) < h(0_+) = \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}. \quad (67)$$

Since $\beta > h\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}\right)$ by assumption, we can choose a unique α with $0 < \alpha < \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}$ such that

$$\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} \frac{e^{\alpha b} + e^{-\alpha b}}{\alpha(e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})} = \beta. \quad (68)$$

With this choice of α , the boundary term in (66) vanishes. Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (69)$$

Next, we multiply ϕ to (8) and integrate over Ω . We get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (70)$$

Combining (70) with (69), we get

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (71)$$

Now we can follow the exactly same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to prove that the 3D model must develop a finite time blow-up. \square

Remark 3.2 *We remark that the same conclusion is still true if we replace the Dirichlet boundary condition $\psi|_{z=b} = 0$ by the Neumann boundary condition $\psi_z|_{z=b} = 0$. The only difference is that the weight function ϕ is now changed to*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = \frac{e^{-\alpha(z-b)} - e^{\alpha(z-b)}}{2} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega, \quad (72)$$

where $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{2}\pi/a$, and β satisfies a variant of (23) in Lemma 2.1 and

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a} \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{2}\pi b/a} - e^{-\sqrt{2}\pi b/a}}{e^{\sqrt{2}\pi b/a} + e^{-\sqrt{2}\pi b/a}} \right) < \beta < 2b \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2. \quad (73)$$

We omit the proof here.

3.3 Blow-up of a generalized 3D model

In this section, we study singularity formation of a generalized 3D model by changing the sign of the Laplace operator in the ψ -equation (5). Specifically, we consider the following generalized 3D model:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = 2u\psi_z \\ \Delta\psi_t = (u^2)_z \end{cases}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, a) = (0, a) \times (0, a) \times (0, a). \quad (74)$$

The boundary and initial conditions are below

$$\begin{aligned} \psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad \psi_z|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad \Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0, \text{ or } z = a\} \\ \psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}, z) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

In this subsection, we will generalize the singularity analysis presented in the previous subsection to prove that the solution of the generalized 3D model will develop a finite time singularity. The main result is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 *Assume that $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $u_0|_{\Omega} > 0$, and $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$. Further, we define*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a} \sin \frac{\pi z}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega. \quad (76)$$

Let

$$A = \int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz, \quad B = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_{0z} \phi d\mathbf{x} dz, \quad I_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{x^3 + 1}}.$$

If $A > 0$ and $B > 0$, then the solution of (74)-(75) will blow up no later than $T^* = \left(\frac{B}{18}\right)^{-1/3} I_{\infty}$.

Proof We prove the theorem by contradiction. Suppose that the system (74) has a globally smooth solution, $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega))$. Multiplying ϕ_z to the both sides of the ψ -equation and integrating over Ω , we get

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi_t \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_z \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (77)$$

Note that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ as long as the solution remains smooth. By integrating by parts and using the boundary condition on ψ and the property of ϕ to eliminate the boundary terms, we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} \psi_{zt} \Delta \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi_{zz} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (78)$$

Substituting ϕ to (78) and using the boundary condition for ψ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (79)$$

Next, we multiply ϕ to (8) and integrate over Ω . We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (80)$$

Combining (79) with (80), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \frac{2}{3} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (81)$$

Integrating the above equation twice in time, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz \right) d\tau \, ds + A + Bt. \quad (82)$$

Using (82), following the same argument as in Theorem 3.1, we can prove that the solution of the initial boundary value problem (74) blows up no later than T^* . \square

4 Blow-up of the 3D model with partial viscosity

In this section, we prove finite blow-up of the 3D model with partial viscosity. Specifically, we consider the following initial boundary value problem in a semi-infinite domain:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = 2u\psi_z \\ \omega_t = (u^2)_z + \nu \Delta \omega \\ -\Delta \psi = \omega \end{cases}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, \infty), \quad (83)$$

The initial and boundary conditions are given as follows:

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (\psi_z + \beta\psi)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (84)$$

$$\omega|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad (\omega_z + \gamma\omega)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (85)$$

$$\omega|_{t=0} = \omega_0(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}, z) \geq 0, \quad (86)$$

where $\Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0\}$.

Now we state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $u_0|_{\Omega} > 0$, and $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\omega_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$. Further, we assume that $\beta \in S_{\infty}$ as defined in Lemma 2.1 and $\beta > \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}$, $\gamma = \frac{2\pi^2}{\beta a^2}$. Let*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = e^{-\alpha z} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega, \quad (87)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2\pi^2}{\beta a^2}$ satisfies $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{2}\pi/a$. Define

$$A = \int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz, \quad B = - \int_{\Omega} \omega_0 \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz, \quad D = \frac{2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2}, \quad (88)$$

$$I_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{x^3 + 1}}, \quad T^* = \left(\frac{\pi \alpha^3 D^2 B}{12a} \right)^{-1/3} I_{\infty}. \quad (89)$$

If $A > 0$, $B > 0$, and $T^* < (\log 2) \left(\nu \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2 \right) \right)^{-1}$, then the solution of model (83) with initial and boundary conditions (84)-(86) will develop a finite time singularity before T^* .

Proof Again, we prove by contradiction. Assume that the 3D model with the initial boundary conditions (84)-(86) has a globally smooth solution, $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega))$, $\omega \in C^1([0, \infty); H^1(\Omega))$. Multiplying ϕ to the both sides of the ψ -equation and integrating over Ω , we get

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz = \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (90)$$

By integrating by parts and using boundary conditions (84)-(85) and the property of ϕ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi_z \Delta \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_z \phi_z|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \phi|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} dz = \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (91)$$

Substituting ϕ defined in (87) into the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2 \right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz &= \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\alpha \psi_z + \frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} \psi \right) \Big|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz + \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\alpha \beta - \frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} \right) \psi|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}$. Since $\beta > \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}$, we can choose

$$\alpha = \frac{2\pi^2}{\beta a^2} < \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{a}, \quad (93)$$

to eliminate the boundary term in (92). This gives rise to the following identity:

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = - \frac{1}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (94)$$

Next, we multiply ϕ_z to the both sides of the ω -equation and integrate over Ω

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_t \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz = \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_z \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz + \nu \int_{\Omega} \Delta \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (95)$$

Integrating by parts and using $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz &= - \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi_{zz} d\mathbf{x} dz + \nu \left(- \int_{\Omega_x} \omega_z \phi_z |_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega_x} \omega \phi_{zz} |_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} \omega \Delta \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz \right) \\ &= -\alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \nu \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2 \right) \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz + \nu \int_{\Omega_x} (\alpha \omega_z + \alpha^2 \omega) |_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \nu \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2 \right) \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz + \nu \int_{\Omega_x} \alpha(\alpha - \gamma) \omega |_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \nu \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2 \right) \int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz, \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

where we have used $\alpha = \gamma$ to eliminate the boundary term in the above estimates. Solving the above ordinary equation for $\int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz$ gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz = e^{-\lambda t} \int_{\Omega} \omega_0 \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz - \alpha^2 \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \right) ds, \quad (97)$$

where $\lambda = \nu \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} - \alpha^2 \right)$. Using the renormalized u -equation (8), (94) and (97), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \\ &= \frac{2}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 - \alpha^2} \left(-e^{-\lambda t} \int_{\Omega} \omega_0 \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz + \alpha^2 \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \right) ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

Integrating the above equation in time, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz &= \int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \frac{2}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 - \alpha^2} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \right) \left(- \int_{\Omega} \omega_0 \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2\alpha^2}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\lambda(s-\tau)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \right) d\tau ds. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Let $T_0 = \frac{\log 2}{\lambda}$, then $e^{-\lambda t} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ over the interval $[0, T_0]$. Note that $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \right) = e^{-\lambda t} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $0 \leq t \leq T_0$. This implies that $\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \geq \frac{t}{2}$ for $0 \leq t \leq T_0$. Thus we have from (99) that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \geq A + \frac{1}{2} DBt + \frac{1}{2} D\alpha^2 \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \right) d\tau ds, \quad (100)$$

for all $t \in [0, T_0]$. Now we can follow exactly the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to prove that the 3D model must develop a finite time blow-up before

$$T^* = \left(\frac{\alpha^3 \pi D^2 B}{12a} \right)^{-1/3} I_{\infty}. \quad (101)$$

Since $T^* < T_0$, we conclude that the solution must blow up before T^* . \square

Remark 4.1 We can also prove the finite time blow-up of the 3D model with partial viscosity in a bounded domain following a similar argument. We omit the analysis here.

5 Blow-up of the 3D model with conservative boundary conditions

In this section, we will consider boundary conditions for ψ that will conserve energy. Under some additional condition, we can prove that the solution of the 3D model with conservative boundary conditions will also develop a finite time singularity.

5.1 Blow-up in a semi-infinite domain

Consider the following initial boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = 2u\psi_z \\ -\Delta\psi_t = (u^2)_z \end{cases}, \quad (x, z) \in \Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, \infty), \quad (102)$$

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad \psi_z|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (103)$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}, z) \geq 0,$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}} = (0, a) \times (0, a)$, and $\Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0\}$.

Theorem 5.1 Assume that $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $u_0|_{\Omega} > 0$, and $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$. Let

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = e^{-\alpha z} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (x, z) \in \Omega, \quad (104)$$

with $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{a}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz, \quad B = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_{0z} \phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz, \\ r(t) &= \frac{4 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} (\psi - \psi_0)|_{z=0} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a} \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

If $A > 0$, $B > 0$ and $r(t) \leq \frac{B}{2}$ as long as u, ψ remain regular, then the solution of (102)-(103) will develop a finite time singularity in the H^2 norm.

Proof We prove the theorem by contradiction. Assume that the initial boundary value problem has a globally smooth solution, $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega))$. Multiplying ϕ_z to the both sides of the ψ -equation and integrating over Ω , we get

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta\psi_t \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_z \phi_z \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (105)$$

Note that $u|_{z=0} = 0$ since $u_0|_{z=0} = 0$. By integrating by parts and using the boundary condition of ψ and the property of ϕ , we have

$$- \int_{\Omega} \psi_{zt} \Delta\phi \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_{zt} \phi_z|_{z=0} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz - \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \phi|_{z=0} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi_{zz} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dz. \quad (106)$$

Substituting ϕ defined in (104) into the above equation, we have

$$\left(2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2\right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - 2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (107)$$

where $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}$. Finally we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \frac{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (108)$$

Next, we multiply ϕ to (8) and integrate over Ω . We get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (109)$$

Combining (108) with (109), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \frac{4\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (110)$$

Integrating the above equation in time and using the assumption that $r(t) \leq \frac{B}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz &= \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \right) d\tau ds + A + Bt \\ &\quad - \frac{4\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} (\psi - \psi_0)|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \right) d\tau ds + A + \frac{1}{2}Bt. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Using (111), following the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can prove that the solution of the initial boundary value problem of the 3D model blows up in a finite time. \square

5.2 Blow-up in a bounded domain

In this subsection, we will prove the finite time blow-up of the 3D model with a conservative boundary condition in a bounded domain. Specifically, we consider the following initial boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = 2u\psi_z, \\ -\Delta\psi_t = (u^2)_z, \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \times (0, b), \quad (112)$$

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0, \quad \psi_z|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad (113)$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}, z) \geq 0,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}} = (0, a) \times (0, a)$, $\Gamma = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, z = 0 \text{ or } z = b\}$.

The main result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2 Assume that $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $u_0|_{\Omega} > 0$, and $u_0, \psi_{0z} \in H^2(\Omega)$. Let

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = \frac{e^{-\alpha(z-b)} - e^{\alpha(z-b)}}{2} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega, \quad (114)$$

with $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{a}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_{\Omega} (\log u_0) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz, \quad B = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_{0z} \phi d\mathbf{x} dz, \\ r(t) &= \frac{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} (\psi - \psi_0)|_{z=0} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a} d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{B}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $A > 0$, $B > 0$ and $r(t) \leq \frac{B}{2}$ as long as u, ψ remain regular, then the solution of (112)-(113) will develop a finite time singularity in the H^2 norm.

Proof We prove the theorem by contradiction. Assume that the initial boundary value problem has a globally smooth solution, $u, \psi_z \in C^1([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega))$. Multiplying ϕ_z to the both sides of the ψ -equation and integrating over Ω , we have

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi_t \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz = \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_z \phi_z d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (115)$$

Note that $u|_{\Gamma} = 0$ as long as the solution remains regular. By integrating by parts and using the boundary condition of ψ and the property of ϕ , we get

$$- \int_{\Omega} \psi_{zt} \Delta \phi d\mathbf{x} dz + \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \phi|_{z=0} d\mathbf{x} dz = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi_{zz} d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (116)$$

Substituting ϕ to the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2\right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz &= \alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b}) \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

where $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}$. Thus we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = \frac{\alpha^2}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (118)$$

Next, we multiply ϕ to (8) and integrate over Ω . We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi_z \phi d\mathbf{x} dz. \quad (119)$$

Combining (118) with (119), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi d\mathbf{x} dz = \frac{2\alpha^2}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi d\mathbf{x} dz - \frac{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})}{2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} \psi_t|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (120)$$

Integrating the above equation in time and using the assumption that $r(t) \leq \frac{B}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\log u) \phi \, dx \, dz &= \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, dx \, dz \right) d\tau \, ds + A + Bt \\ &\quad - \frac{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 (e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} (\psi - \psi_0)|_{z=0} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 \phi \, dx \, dz \right) d\tau \, ds + A + \frac{1}{2}Bt. \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Using (121) and following the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can prove that the solution of the 3D model will develop a finite time singularity in the H^2 norm. \square

5.3 Blow-up of the 3D model with other conservative boundary conditions

The singularity analysis we present in the previous subsection can be generalized to study the finite time blow-up of the 3D model with the same boundary condition along the x_1 and x_2 directions as in Section 5.2, but changing the Neumann boundary condition along the z -direction to a periodic boundary condition. The assumption on u_0 and ψ_0 remains the same as in Section 5.2. In this case, we can prove the finite time blow-up of the corresponding initial boundary value problem with two minor modifications in the statement of the blow-up theorem. The first change is to replace ϕ by the following definition:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = \frac{e^{-\alpha z} + e^{-\alpha(z-b)}}{2} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = (0, a) \times (0, a) \times (0, b), \quad (122)$$

with $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{a}$. The second change is to modify the definition of $r(t)$ as follows:

$$r(t) = \frac{2\alpha(1 - e^{-\alpha b})}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} (\psi_z - \psi_{0z})|_{z=0} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a} \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{B}{2},$$

where A and B are the same as in Theorem 5.2. If $A > 0$, $B > 0$ and $r(t) \leq \frac{B}{2}$ as long as u, ψ remain regular, then we can prove that the solution of the corresponding initial boundary value problem will develop a finite time singularity in the H^2 norm.

The same singularity analysis can be applied to study the finite time blow-up of the 3D model with the same boundary condition along the x_1 and x_2 directions as in Section 5.2, but changing the Neumann boundary condition along the z -direction to the Dirichlet boundary condition. The assumption on u_0 and ψ_0 remains the same as in Section 5.2. In this case, we can prove the finite time blow-up of the corresponding initial boundary value problem with two minor modifications in the statement of the blow-up theorem. The first change is to replace ϕ by the following definition:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = \frac{e^{\alpha(z-b)} + e^{-\alpha(z-b)}}{2} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = (0, a) \times (0, a) \times (0, b), \quad (123)$$

with $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{a}$. The second change is to modify the definition of $r(t)$ as follows:

$$r(t) = \frac{\alpha(e^{\alpha b} - e^{-\alpha b})}{2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \alpha^2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} (\psi_z - \psi_{0z})|_{z=0} \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{a} \sin \frac{\pi x_2}{a} d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{B}{2},$$

where A and B are the same as in Theorem 5.2. If $A > 0$, $B > 0$ and $r(t) \leq \frac{B}{2}$ as long as u, ψ remain regular, then we can prove that the solution of the corresponding initial boundary value problem will develop a finite time singularity in the H^2 norm.

Remark 5.1 *All the results in this section can be generalized to a cylindrical domain Ω in high dimension space \mathbb{R}^N , with $\Omega = \{(\mathbf{x}, z) | \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, z \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}\}$. In this case, the weight function $\phi(\mathbf{x}, z)$ is chosen to be the product of two functions:*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, z) = \phi_1(\mathbf{x})\eta(z). \quad (124)$$

Here the eigen-function, $\eta(z)$, is the same in the Eulerian coordinate in the previous sections. The eigen-function, $\phi_1(\mathbf{x})$, defined in the \mathbf{x} space, is chosen to be the first eigen-function of the following eigenvalue problem:

$$-\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_1 = \lambda\phi_1, \quad (125)$$

$$\phi_1|_{\partial\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} = 0, \quad (126)$$

with $\lambda > 0$, where $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the $N - 1$ dimensional Laplace operator, $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{N-1}^2}$.

6 Global regularity of some initial boundary value problems

In this section, we will prove the global regularity of the 3D model for a special class of initial and boundary conditions. To simplify the presentation of our analysis, we use u^2 and ψ_z as our new variables. We will define $v = \psi_z$ and still use u to stand for u^2 . Then the 3D model now has the form:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = 4uv \\ -\Delta v_t = u_{zz} \end{cases}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega = (0, \delta) \times (0, \delta) \times (0, \delta). \quad (127)$$

We choose the following boundary condition for v :

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} = -4, \quad (128)$$

and denote $v|_{t=0} = v_0(\mathbf{x}, z)$ and $u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}, z) \geq 0$.

In our regularity analysis, we need to use the following Sobolev inequality [12]:

Lemma 6.1 *For all $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists $C_s > 0$, such that, for all $u, v \in L^\infty \cap H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\left(\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s} \|\partial^\alpha(uv) - \partial^\alpha u \cdot v\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C_s (\|u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^{s-1}}). \quad (129)$$

Now we state the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.1 *Assume that $u_0, v_0 \in H^s(\Omega)$ with $s \geq 4$, $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, and $v_0 \leq -4$ over Ω , then the H^s norm of u, v remains bounded for all time as long as the following holds*

$$\delta(4C_s + 1)(\|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s\|u_0\|_{H^s}) < 1, \quad (130)$$

where C_s is an interpolation constant. Moreover, we have $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} e^{-7t}$, $\|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^s(\Omega)} e^{-7t}$ and $\|v\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq C$ for some constant C which depends on u_0, v_0 and s only.

Proof First, we note that (130) implies that $2C_s\delta\|v_0\|_{H^s} < \frac{1}{2}$. By using an argument similar to the local well-posedness analysis, we can show that there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that $\|u\|_{H^s}$ and $\|v\|_{H^s}$ are bounded, $v < -2$ on Ω , and $2C_s\delta\|v\|_{H^s} < 1$ for $0 \leq t < T_0$.

Let $[0, T)$ be the largest time interval on which $\|u\|_{H^s}$ and $\|v\|_{H^s}$ are bounded, and both of the following inequalities hold:

$$v \leq -2 \text{ over } \Omega, \quad 2C_s\delta\|v\|_{H^s} \leq 1.$$

We will show that $T = \infty$.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ with $\alpha_j \geq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) and $|\alpha| \leq s$, we have for $0 \leq t < T$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \partial^\alpha u, \partial^\alpha u \rangle &= 8 \langle \partial^\alpha (uv), \partial^\alpha u \rangle \\ &= 8 \langle \partial^\alpha u \cdot v, \partial^\alpha u \rangle + 8 \langle \partial^\alpha (uv) - \partial^\alpha u \cdot v, \partial^\alpha u \rangle \\ &= 8 \int_{\Omega} |\partial^\alpha u|^2 v dx dz + 8 \langle \partial^\alpha (uv) - \partial^\alpha u \cdot v, \partial^\alpha u \rangle \\ &\leq -16 \int_{\Omega} |\partial^\alpha u|^2 dx dz + 8 \|\partial^\alpha (uv) - \partial^\alpha u \cdot v\|_{L^2} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

Using Lemma 6.1, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{H^s} \leq -8\|u\|_{H^s} + C_s (\|u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{H^s} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^{s-1}}). \quad (132)$$

Since $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{x}, z, t) &= \int_0^z \partial_{z'} u(\mathbf{x}, z', t) dz' \\ &= \int_0^z \int_0^{x_1} \int_0^{x_2} \partial_{x'_1} \partial_{x'_2} \partial_{z'} u(x'_1, x'_2, z', t) dx'_1 dx'_2 dz' \\ &\leq \delta^{3/2} \|\partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \partial_z u\|_{L^2} \leq \delta \|u\|_{H^s}, \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

since $s \geq 4$. Notice that $v_{x_i}|_{z=0} = 0$, so we have

$$v_{x_i} = \int_0^z v_{x_i z'} dz' \leq \int_0^\delta |v_{x_i z'}| dz' \leq \delta \|v_{x_i z}\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (134)$$

Similarly, since $v_z|_{x_1=0} = 0$, we have

$$v_z = \int_0^{x_1} v_{x_1'z} dx_1' \leq \int_0^\delta |v_{x_1'z}| dx_1' \leq \delta \|v_{x_1z}\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (135)$$

Combining (134) with (135), we get

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta \max_{i=1,2} (\|v_{x_i z}\|_{L^\infty}). \quad (136)$$

Since $s \geq 4 > 2 + 3/2$ by our assumption, we obtain by using the Sobolev embedding theorem [12] that

$$\|v_{x_i z}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_s \|v_{x_i z}\|_{H^{s-2}} \leq C_s \|v\|_{H^s}. \quad (137)$$

It follows from (136) and (137) that

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_s \delta \|v\|_{H^s}. \quad (138)$$

Combine (132)-(133) with (138), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{H^s} \leq (-8 + 2C_s \delta \|v\|_{H^s}) \|u\|_{H^s}. \quad (139)$$

Since $2C_s \delta \|v\|_{H^s} \leq 1$ for $t < T$ by the assumption of T , we have for $t < T$ that

$$\|u\|_{H^s} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^s} e^{-7t}. \quad (140)$$

Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \partial^\alpha v, \partial^\alpha v \rangle = 2 \langle \partial^\alpha v_t, \partial^\alpha v \rangle \leq 2 \|\partial^\alpha v_t\|_{L^2} \|\partial^\alpha v\|_{L^2}. \quad (141)$$

Recall that $\Delta v_t = u_{zz}$. We can easily generalize the proof of Lemma 2.1 to show that

$$\|v_t\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq C_s \|u_{zz}\|_{H^{s-2}(\Omega)} \leq C_s \|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)}. \quad (142)$$

Using (142), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{H^s}^2 \leq 2 \|v_t\|_{H^s} \|v\|_{H^s} \leq 2C_s \|u\|_{H^s} \|v\|_{H^s}. \quad (143)$$

Substituting (140) to the above equations, we get for $t < T$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{H^s} \leq C_s \|u\|_{H^s} \leq C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s} e^{-7t}. \quad (144)$$

Integrating the above inequality in time, we obtain the estimate of $\|v\|_{H^s}$ over $[0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^s} &\leq \|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s} \int_0^t e^{-7s} ds \\ &\leq \|v_0\|_{H^s} + \frac{C_s}{7} \|u_0\|_{H^s} \leq \|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

Since $v|_{\partial\Omega} = -4$, we can use the the same argument as in the proof of (133) to show that

$$|v + 4| \leq \delta \|v\|_{H^s} \leq \delta (\|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s}), \quad (146)$$

where we have used (145). Now we have for $t < T$ that

$$\begin{aligned} v &\leq -4 + \delta (\|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s}), \\ 2C_s \delta \|v\|_{H^s} &\leq 2C_s \delta (\|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s}). \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption on the initial data, we have

$$(4C_s + 1) (\|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s}) \delta < 1. \quad (147)$$

Therefore, we have proved that if

$$v \leq -2 \text{ on } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad 2C_s \delta \|v\|_{H^s} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad (148)$$

then we actually have

$$v \leq -3 \text{ on } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad 2C_s \delta \|v\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \quad (149)$$

This implies that we can extend the time interval beyond T so that (148) is still valid. This contradicts the assumption that $[0, T)$ is the largest time interval on which (148) is valid. This contradiction shows that T can not be a finite number, i.e. (148) is true for all time. This implies that $\|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$ and $\|v\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$ are bounded for all time. Moreover, we have shown that $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} e^{-7t}$, $\|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^s(\Omega)} e^{-7t}$ and $\|v\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq \|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_s \|u_0\|_{H^s}$. \square

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2.1

Proof of Lemma 2.1 We present the proof for the case of $a = \pi$. The case of $a \neq \pi$ can be proved similarly. First, we perform the sine transform along x_1 and x_2 directions to both sides of (20). We have

$$|k|^2 \hat{v}(k, z) - \hat{v}_{zz}(k, z) = \hat{f}(k, z), \quad (\text{A-1})$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2)$, $|k| = \sqrt{k_1^2 + k_2^2}$, and the sine transform of v is defined as follows:

$$\hat{v}(k, z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi v(x_1, x_2, z) \sin(k_1 x_1) \sin(k_2 x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \quad (\text{A-2})$$

Applying the sine transform to the boundary condition gives

$$(\hat{v}_z(k, z) + \beta \hat{v}(k, z))|_{z=0} = 0. \quad (\text{A-3})$$

The second order ODE (A-1) can be solved analytically. The general solution is given by

$$\hat{v}(k, z) = \frac{e^{|k|z}}{|k|} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z \hat{f} e^{-|k|z'} dz' + C_1(k) \right) + \frac{e^{-|k|z}}{|k|} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z \hat{f} e^{|k|z'} dz' + C_2(k) \right). \quad (\text{A-4})$$

The boundary condition (A-3) and the constraint that $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ determine the constants C_1 and C_2 uniquely as follows:

$$C_1(k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) e^{-|k|z'} dz', \quad C_2(k) = \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k| - \beta} C_1(k). \quad (\text{A-5})$$

Let $\chi(x)$ be the characteristic function

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ 1, & x \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A-6})$$

Then $\hat{v}(k, z)$ has the following integral representation (note that $\beta \neq |k|$ by our assumption):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}(k, z) &= -\frac{1}{2|k|} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) e^{-|k|(z'-z)} \chi(z' - z) dz' + \frac{1}{2|k|} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) e^{-|k|(z-z')} \chi(z - z') dz' \\ &\quad + \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k|(|k| - \beta)} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) e^{-|k|(z+z')} dz' \\ &= -\frac{1}{2|k|} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) K_1(z' - z) dz' + \frac{1}{2|k|} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) K_1(z - z') dz' \\ &\quad + \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k|(|k| - \beta)} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) K_2(z + z') dz', \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-7})$$

where $K_1(z) = e^{-|k|z} \chi(z)$, $K_2(z) = e^{-|k|z}$. Using Young's inequality (see e.g. page 232 of [11]), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{v}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)} &\leq \frac{1}{2|k|} \left(2\|K_1\|_{L^1[0, \infty)} + 2 \left| \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k| - \beta} \right| \|K_2\|_{L^1[0, \infty)} \right) \|\hat{f}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|k|^2} \left(1 + \left| \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k| - \beta} \right| \right) \|\hat{f}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)} \leq \frac{M}{|k|^2} \|\hat{f}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-8})$$

where $M = \max_{k_1, k_2 > 0} \left(1 + \left| \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k| - \beta} \right| \right) < \infty$ since $\beta \neq |k|$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ by our assumption.

Next, we estimate $\hat{v}_z(k, z)$. Differentiating (A-4) with respect to z , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}_z(k, z) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_z^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) e^{-|k|(z'-z)} dz' - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^z \hat{f}(k, z) e^{-|k|(z-z')} dz' \\ &\quad - \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k| - \beta} \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(k, z) e^{-|k|(z+z')} dz'. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-9})$$

Following the same procedure as in our estimate for $\hat{v}(k, z)$, we obtain a similar estimate for $\hat{v}_z(k, z)$:

$$\|\hat{v}_z(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)} \leq \frac{1}{|k|} \left(1 + \left| \frac{|k| + \beta}{|k| - \beta} \right| \right) \|\hat{f}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)} \leq \frac{M}{|k|} \|\hat{f}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}. \quad (\text{A-10})$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ with $\alpha_j \geq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$). We will prove $\|\partial^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq M^2 \|f\|_{H^{|\alpha|-2}}^2$ for all $|\alpha| \geq 2$. We will prove this using an induction argument on α_3 . First, we establish this estimate for $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 2$. Below we use the case of $\alpha_1 \geq 1$ and $\alpha_2 \geq 1$ as an example to illustrate the main idea. By using the Parseval equality and (A-8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial^\alpha v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} k_1^{2\alpha_1} k_2^{2\alpha_2} \int_0^\infty |\widehat{v}(k, z)|^2 dz \\
&= \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} k_1^{2\alpha_1} k_2^{2\alpha_2} \|\widehat{v}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} M^2 k_1^{2\alpha_1} k_2^{2\alpha_2} |k|^{-4} \|\widehat{f}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}^2 \\
&\leq M^2 \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \|k_1^{\alpha_1-1} k_2^{\alpha_2-1} \widehat{f}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}^2 \\
&= M^2 \|\partial_x^{\alpha_1-1} \partial_y^{\alpha_2-1} f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq M^2 \|f\|_{H^{|\alpha|-2}(\Omega)}^2. \tag{A-11}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove (A-11) for $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 2$ by distributing the appropriate order of derivatives to x_1 and/or x_2 direction.

Using (A-10) and following the same procedure as in the proof of (A-11), we can prove (A-11) for the case of $\alpha_3 = 1$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 1$. Finally, using (A-1) and differentiating (A-1) with respect to z as many times as needed, we can prove

$$\|\partial^\alpha v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{H^{|\alpha|-2}(\Omega)}^2, \tag{A-12}$$

for all $\alpha_3 \geq 2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 0$ by using an induction argument and (A-11) for $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_3 = 1$. Using (A-12) and (A-7), we obtain

$$\|v\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq C_s \|f\|_{H^{s-2}(\Omega)}, \tag{A-13}$$

for all $s \geq 2$, where C_s is a constant depending only on s . The uniqueness of the solution follows from the solution formula (A-4) and (A-5). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

Acknowledgments Dr. T. Hou would like to acknowledge NSF for their generous support through the Grants DMS-0713670 and DMS-0908546. The work of Drs. Z. Shi and S. Wang was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-0713670. The research of Dr. S. Wang was also supported by the Grants NSFC 10771009 and phr-ihlb 200906103. This work was done during Dr. Shu Wang's visit to ACM at Caltech. He would like to thank Prof. T. Hou and Caltech for their hospitality during his visit. We would like to thank Profs. Congming Li and Xinwei Yu for their comments and stimulating discussions on this work.

References

- [1] J. T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda, *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*. Comm. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), no. 1, 61–66.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), 771–831.
- [3] R. J. DiPerna and P. L. Lions *On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability*, Ann. Math. **130** (1989), 321-366.
- [4] C. Fefferman, [http://www.claymath.org/millennium/Navier-Stokes equations](http://www.claymath.org/millennium/Navier-Stokes%20equations).
- [5] T. Y. Hou and R. Li, *Dynamic depletion of vortex stretching and non-blowup of the 3-D incompressible Euler equations*, J. Nonlinear Science **16** (2006), no. 6, 639–664.
- [6] T. Y. Hou and C. Li, *Global well-posedness of the viscous Boussinesq equations*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, **12** (2005), no. 1, 1-12.
- [7] T. Y. Hou and C. Li, *Dynamic stability of the 3D axi-symmetric Navier-Stokes equations with swirl*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **61** (2008), no. 5, 661–697.
- [8] T. Y. Hou and Z. Lei, *On the stabilizing effect of convection in 3D incompressible Flows*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2009), no. 4, 501–564.
- [9] T. Y. Hou and Z. Lei, *On partial regularity of a 3D model of Navier-Stokes equations*, Commun. Math Phys., **287** (2009), 281-298.
- [10] T. Y. Hou, C. Li, Z. Shi, S. Wang, and X. Yu, *On singularity formation of a nonlinear nonlocal system*, arXiv:0911.3946v1 [math.AP], 2009.
- [11] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis – Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, Wiley-Interscience Publ., Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1984.
- [12] G. B. Folland, *Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1995.
- [13] A. J. Majda and A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [14] G. Prodi, *Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **48** (1959), 173–182.
- [15] J. Serrin, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*. Nonlinear Problems, Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1963, 69–98.
- [16] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations*. Second Edition, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001.