## RELATIONAL ALGEBRA II

## CS121: Relational Databases

Fall 2018 - Lecture 3

## Last Lecture

$\square$ Query languages provide support for retrieving information from a database
$\square$ Introduced the relational algebra
$\square$ A procedural query language
$\square$ Six fundamental operations:
■ select, project, set-union, set-difference, Cartesian product, rename
$\square$ Several additional operations, built upon the fundamental operations

- set-intersection, natural join, division, assignment


## Extended Operations

$\square$ Relational algebra operations have been extended in various ways

- More generalized
$\square$ More useful!
$\square$ Three major extensions:
$\square$ Generalized projection
$\square$ Aggregate functions
$\square$ Additional join operations
$\square$ All of these appear in SQL standards


## Generalized Projection Operation

$\square$ Would like to include computed results into relations
$\square$ e.g. "Retrieve all credit accounts, computing the current 'available credit' for each account."
$\square$ Available credit $=$ credit limit - current balance
$\square$ Project operation is generalized to include computed results
$\square$ Can specify functions on attributes, as well as attributes themselves
$\square$ Can also assign names to computed values
$\square$ (Renaming attributes is also allowed, even though this is also provided by the $\rho$ operator)

## Generalized Projection

$\square$ Written as: $\prod_{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}}(E)$
$\square F_{i}$ are arithmetic expressions
$\square E$ is an expression that produces a relation
$\square$ Can also name values: $F_{i}$ as name
$\square$ Can use to provide derived attributes
$\square$ Values are always computed from other attributes stored in database
$\square$ Also useful for updating values in database
$\square$ (more on this later)

## Generalized Projection Example

$\square$ "Compute available credit for every credit account."
$\Pi_{\text {cred_id, }}$ (limit - balance) as available_credif $($ credit_acct)

| cred_id | limit | balance | cred_id | available_credit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-273 | 2500 | 150 | C-273 | 2350 |
| C-291 | 750 | 600 | C-291 | 150 |
| C-304 | 15000 | 3500 | C-304 | 11500 |
| C-313 | 300 | 25 | C-313 | 275 |

## Aggregate Functions

$\square$ Very useful to apply a function to a collection of values to generate a single result
$\square$ Most common aggregate functions:
sum sums the values in the collection
avg computes average of values in the collection count counts number of elements in the collection min returns minimum value in the collection $\max \quad$ returns maximum value in the collection
$\square$ Aggregate functions work on multisets, not sets
$\square$ A value can appear in the input multiple times

## Aggregate Function Examples

"Find the total amount owed to the credit company."
$G_{\text {sum(balance) }}($ credit_acct)
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| cred_id | limit | balance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-273 | 2500 | 150 |
| C-291 | 750 | 600 |
| C-304 | 15000 | 3500 |
| C-313 | 300 | 25 |
| credit_acct |  |  |

"Find the maximum available credit of any account."
$\mathcal{G}_{\max (\text { available_credit) }}\left(\Pi_{(\text {limit - balance) as available_credif }}(\right.$ credit_acct $\left.)\right)$

## Grouping and Aggregation

$\square$ Sometimes need to compute aggregates on a per-item basis
$\square$ Back to the puzzle database:
puzzle_list(puzzle_name)
completed(person_name, puzzle_name)

| puzzle_name |
| :--- |
| altekruse |
| soma cube |
| puzzle box |
| puzzle_list |

$\square$ Examples:
$\square$ How many puzzles has each person completed?
$\square$ How many people have completed each puzzle?

| person_name | puzzle_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruse |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |
| completed |  |

## Grouping and Aggregation (2)

| puzzle_name |
| :--- |
| altekruse |
| soma cube |
| puzzle box |
| puzzle_list |

"How many puzzles has each person completed?"

| person_name | puzzle_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruse |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |

completed
person_name $\mathcal{G}_{\text {count(puzzle_name) }}$ (completed)
$\square$ First, input relation completed is grouped by unique values of person_name
$\square$ Then, count(puzzle_name) is applied separately to each group

## Grouping and Aggregation (3)

person_name $\mathcal{G}_{\text {count }}$ (puzzle_name) $($ completed)

Input relation is
grouped by person_name

| person_name | puzzle_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | altekruse |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |

Aggregate function is applied to each group

| person_name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | 3 |
| Bob | 2 |
| Carl | 3 |

## Distinct Values

$\square$ Sometimes want to compute aggregates over sets of values, instead of multisets

## Example:

- Chage puzzle database to include a completed_times relation, which records multiple solutions of a puzzle
$\square$ How many puzzles has each person completed?
- Using completed_times relation this time

| person_name | puzzle_name | seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse | 350 |
| Alex | soma cube | 45 |
| Bob | puzzle box | 240 |
| Carl | altekruse | 285 |
| Bob | puzzle box | 215 |
| Alex | altekruse | 290 |
| completed_times |  |  |

## Distinct Values (2)

"How many puzzles has each person completed?"
$\square$ Each puzzle appears multiple times now.

| person_name | puzzle_name | seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse | 350 |
| Alex | soma cube | 45 |
| Bob | puzzle box | 240 |
| Carl | altekruse | 285 |
| Bob | puzzle box | 215 |
| Alex | altekruse | 290 |
| completed_times |  |  |

$\square$ Need to count distinct occurrences of each puzzle's name
person_name $\mathcal{G}_{\text {count-distinct(puzzle_name) }}$ (completed_times)

## Eliminating Duplicates

$\square$ Can append -distinct to any aggregate function to specify elimination of duplicates
$\square$ Usually used with count: count-distinct
$\square$ Makes no sense with min, max

## General Form of Aggregates

$\square$ General form: $G_{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}} \mathcal{G}_{F_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), F_{2}\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots, F_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)}(E)$
$\square E$ evalutes to a relation
$\square$ Leading $G_{i}$ are attributes of $E$ to group on
$\square$ Each $F_{i}$ is aggregate function applied to attribute $A_{i}$ of $E$
$\square$ First, input relation is divided into groups
$\square$ If no attributes $G_{i}$ specified, no grouping is performed (it's just one big group)
$\square$ Then, aggregate functions applied to each group

## General Form of Aggregates (2)

$\square$ General form: ${ }_{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}} G_{F_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), F_{2}\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots, F_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)}(E)$
$\square$ Tuples in $E$ are grouped such that:
$\square$ All tuples in a group have same values for attributes $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$
$\square$ Tuples in different groups have different values for

$$
G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}
$$

$\square$ Thus, the values $\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ in each group uniquely identify the group
$\square\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}\right\}$ are a superkey for the result relation

## General Form of Aggregates (3)

$\square$ General form: $\quad G_{1,}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n} G_{F_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), F_{2}\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots, F_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)}(E)$
$\square$ Tuples in result have the form:
$\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$
$\square g_{i}$ are values for that particular group
$\square a_{i}$ is result of applying $F_{i}$ to the multiset of values of $A_{i}$ in that group
$\square$ Important note: $F_{i}\left(A_{j}\right)$ attributes are unnamed!
$\square$ Informally we refer to them as $F_{i}\left(A_{j}\right)$ in results, but they have no name.
$\square$ Specify a name, same as before: $F_{i}\left(A_{j}\right)$ as attr_name

## One More Aggregation Example

"How many people have completed each puzzle?"

| person_name | puzzle_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruse |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |

completed puzzle_name $\mathcal{G}_{\text {count(person_name) }}$ (completed)
$\square$ What if nobody has tried a particular puzzle?
$\square$ Won't appear in completed relation

## One More Aggregation Example

$\rightarrow$| puzzle_name |
| :--- |
| altekruse |
| soma cube |
| puzzle box |
| clutch box |
| puzzle_list |

$\square$ New puzzle added to puzzle_list relation

| person_name | puzzle_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruse |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |

$\square$ Would like to see $\{$ "clutch box", 0$\}$ in result...

- "clutch box" won't appear in result!
$\square$ Joining the two tables doesn't help either
$\square$ Natural join won't produce any rows with "clutch box"


## Outer Joins

$\square$ Natural join requires that both left and right tables have a matching tuple
$r \bowtie s=\Pi_{R \cup s}\left(\sigma_{r: A_{1}=s . A_{1} \wedge r \cdot A_{2}=s . A_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge r \cdot A_{n}=s . A_{n}}(r \times s)\right)$
$\square$ Outer ioin is an extension of join operation
$\square$ Designed to handle missing information
$\square$ Missing information is represented by null values in the result
$\square$ null $=$ unknown or unspecified value

## Forms of Outer Join

$\square$ Left outer join: $r \rrbracket s$
$\square$ If a tuple $t_{r} \in r$ doesn't match any tuple in $s$, result contains $\left\{t_{r}\right.$, null, ..., null $\}$
$\square$ If a tuple $t_{s} \in s$ doesn't match any tuple in $r$, it's excluded
$\square$ Right outer join: $r \bowtie s$
$\square$ If a tuple $t_{r} \in r$ doesn't match any tuple in $s$, it's excluded
$\square$ If a tuple $t_{s} \in s$ doesn't match any tuple in $r$, result contains $\left\{\right.$ null, ..., null, $t_{s}$ \}

## Forms of Outer Join (2)

$\square$ Full outer join: $r \perp \backslash s$
$\square$ Includes tuples from $r$ that don't match $s$, as well as tuples from $s$ that don't match $r$
$\square$ Summary:

$$
r=\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \text { attr1 } & \text { attr2 } \\
\hline a & \mathrm{r} 1 \\
\mathrm{~b} & \mathrm{r} 2 \\
\mathrm{c} & \mathrm{r} 3 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
S=\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \text { attr1 } & \text { attr3 } \\
\hline \mathrm{b} & \mathrm{~s} 2 \\
\mathrm{c} & \mathrm{~s} 3 \\
\mathrm{~d} & \mathrm{~s} 4 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| $r \bowtie s$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| attr1 | attr2 | attr3 |
| b | r2 | s2 |
| c | r3 | s3 |


| $r \bowtie s$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| attr1 | attr2 | attr3 |
| a | r 1 | null |
| b | r 2 | s 2 |
| c | r 3 | s 3 |


| $r \propto s$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| attr1 | attr2 | attr3 |
| b | r2 | s2 |
| c | r3 | s3 |
| d | null | s4 |


| $r$ D $S$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| attr1 | attr2 | attr3 |
| a | r 1 | null |
| b | r 2 | s 2 |
| c | r 3 | s 3 |
| d | null | s 4 |

## Effects of null Values

$\square$ Introducing null values affects everything!
$\square$ null means "unknown" or "nonexistent"
$\square$ Must specify effect on results when null is present

- These choices are somewhat arbitrary...
$\square$ (Read your database user's manual! ©)
$\square$ Arithmetic operations (,,+- , /) involving null always evaluate to null (e.g. $5+$ null $=$ null)
$\square$ Comparison operations involving null evaluate to unknown
$\square$ unknown is a third truth-value
$\square$ Note: Yes, even null = null evaluates to unknown.


## Boolean Operators and unknown

$\square$ and
true $\wedge$ unknown = unknown
false $\wedge$ unknown $=$ false
unknown $\wedge$ unknown $=$ unknown
$\square$ or
true $\vee$ unknown $=$ true
false $\vee$ unknown $=$ unknown
unknown $\vee$ unknown $=$ unknown
$\square$ not
$\neg$ unknown $=$ unknown

## Relational Operations

$\square$ For each relational operation, need to specify behavior with respect to null and unknown
$\square$ Select: $\sigma_{P}(E)$

- If $P$ evaluates to unknown for a tuple, that tuple is excluded from result (i.e. definition of $\sigma$ doesn't change)
$\square$ Natural join: $r \bowtie s$
- Includes a Cartesian product, then a select
- If a common attribute has a null value, tuples are excluded from join result
$\square$ Why?
■ null = (anything) evaluates to unknown


## Project and Set-Operations

$\square$ Project: $\Pi(E)$
$\square$ Project operation must eliminate duplicates
$\square$ null value is treated like any other value
$\square$ Duplicate tuples containing null values are also eliminated
$\square$ Union, Intersection, and Difference
$\square$ null values are treated like any other value
$\square$ Set union, intersection, difference computed as expected
$\square$ These choices are somewhat arbitrary
$\square$ null means "value is unknown or missing"...
$\square$...but in these cases, two null values are considered equal.
$\square$ Technically, two null values aren't the same. (oh well)

## Grouping and Aggregation

$\square \ln$ grouping phase:
$\square$ null is treated like any other value

- If two tuples have same values (including null) on the grouping attributes, they end up in same group
$\square$ In aggregation phase:
$\square$ null values are removed from the input multiset before the aggregate function is applied!
- Slightly different from arithmetic behavior; it keeps one null value from wiping out an aggregate computation.
$\square$ If the aggregate function gets an empty multiset for input, the result is null...

■ ...except for count! In that case, count returns 0.

## Generalized Projection, Outer Joins

$\square$ Generalized Projection operation:
$\square$ A combination of simple projection and arithmetic operations
$\square$ Easy to figure out from previous rules
$\square$ Outer joins:
$\square$ Behave just like natural join operation, except for padding missing values with null

## Back to Our Puzzle!

| person_name | puzzle_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruse |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |
| completed |  |

$\square$ Use an outer join to include all puzzles, not just solved ones puzzle_list $\searrow$ completed

| puzzle_name | person_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| altekruse | Alex |
| soma cube | Alex |
| puzzle box | Bob |
| altekruse | Carl |
| soma cube | Bob |
| puzzle box | Carl |
| puzzle box | Alex |
| soma cube | Carl |
| clutch box | null |

## Counting the Solutions

$\square$ Now, use grouping and aggregation
$\square$ Group on puzzle name
$\square$ Count up the people!
puzzle_name $G_{\text {count(person_name) }}$ (puzzle_list $\triangle$ completed)

| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| puzzle_name | person_name | puzzle_name | person_name | puzzle_name |  |
| altekruse | Alex | altekruse | Alex | altekruse | 2 |
| soma cube | Alex | altekruse | Carl | soma cube | 3 |
| puzzle box | Bob | soma cube | Alex | puzzle box | 3 |
| altekruse | Carl | soma cube | Bob | clutch box | 0 |
| soma cube | Bob | soma cube | Carl |  |  |
| puzzle box | Carl | puzzle box | Bob |  |  |
| puzzle box | Alex | puzzle box | Carl |  |  |
| soma cube | Carl | puzzle box | Alex |  |  |
| clutch box | null | clutch box | null |  |  |

## Database Modification

$\square$ Often need to modify data in a database
$\square$ Can use assignment operator $\leftarrow$ for this
$\square$ Operations:
$\square r \leftarrow r \cup E \quad$ Insert new tuples into a relation
$\square r \leftarrow r-E \quad$ Delete tuples from a relation
$\square r \leftarrow \Pi(r) \quad$ Update tuples already in the relation
$\square$ Remember: $r$ is a relation-variable
$\square$ Assignment operator assigns a new relation-value to $r$
$\square$ Hence, RHS expression may need to include existing version of $r$, to avoid losing unchanged tuples

## Inserting New Tuples

$\square$ Inserting tuples simply involves a union:

$$
r \leftarrow r \cup E
$$

$\square E$ has to have correct arity
$\square$ Can specify actual tuples to insert: completed $\leftarrow$ completed $\cup$
$\{$ ("Bob", "altekruse"), ("Carl", "clutch box") $\} \ll$ relation
$\square$ Adds two new tuples to completed relation
$\square$ Can specify constant relations as a set of values
$\square$ Each tuple is enclosed with parentheses
$\square$ Entire set of tuples enclosed with curly-braces

## Inserting New Tuples (2)

$\square$ Can also insert tuples generated from an expression
$\square$ Example:
"Dave is joining the puzzle club. He has done every puzzle that Bob has done."
$\square$ Find out puzzles that Bob has completed, then construct new tuples to add to completed

## Inserting New Tuples (3)

$\square$ How to construct new tuples with name "Dave" and each of Bob's puzzles?
$\square$ Could use a Cartesian product: $\{$ ("Dave") $\} \times \Pi_{\text {puzzle_name }}\left(\sigma_{\text {person_name="Bob"(completed) })}\right.$
$\square$ Or, use generalized projection with a constant:
П"Dave" as person_name, puzzle_name $\left(\sigma_{\text {person_name="Bob" }}\right.$ (completed))
$\square$ Add new tuples to completed relation:
completed $\leftarrow$ completed $\cup$
П"Dave" as person_name, puzzle_name $\left(\sigma_{\text {person_name="Bob"" }}(\mathbf{c o m p l e t e d})\right)$

## Deleting Tuples

$\square$ Deleting tuples uses the - operation:
$r \leftarrow r-E$
$\square$ Example:
Get rid of the "soma cube" puzzle.
puzzle_name
altekruse
soma cube
puzzle box
puzzle_list

Problem:

- completed relation references the puzzle_list relation
- To respect referential integrity constraints, should delete from completed first.

| person_name | puzzle_name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alex | altekruse |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruse |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |
| completed |  |

## Deleting Tuples (2)

$\square$ completed references puzzle_list
$\square$ puzzle_name is a key

- completed shouldn't have any values for puzzle_name that don't appear in puzzle_list
$\square$ Delete tuples from completed first.
- Then delete tuples from puzzle_list.
completed $\leftarrow$ completed $-\sigma_{\text {puzzle_name="soma cube"( }}$ (completed)
puzzle_list $\leftarrow$ puzzle_list $-\sigma_{\text {puzzle_name="soma cube" }}\left(p u z z l e \_l i s t\right)$
Of course, could also write:
completed $\leftarrow \sigma_{\text {puzzle_name="soma cube" }}$ (completed)


## Deleting Tuples (3)

$\square$ In the relational model, we have to think about foreign key constraints ourselves...
$\square$ Relational database systems take care of these things for us, automatically.
$\square$ Will explore the various capabilities and options in a few weeks

## Updating Tuples

$\square$ General form uses generalized projection: $r \leftarrow \Pi_{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}}(r)$
$\square$ Updates all tuples in $r$
$\square$ Example:

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A-301 | New York | 350 |
| A-307 | Seattle | 275 |
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-319 | New York | 80 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |
| account |  |  |

"Add 5\% interest to all bank account balances." account $\leftarrow \prod_{\text {acct_id, branch_name, balance*1.05 }}$ (account)
$\square$ Note: Must include unchanged attributes too
$\square$ Otherwise you will change the schema of account

## Updating Some Tuples

$\square$ Updating only some tuples is more verbose
$\square$ Relation-variable is set to the entire result of the evaluation
$\square$ Must include both updated tuples, and non-updated tuples, in result
$\square$ Example:
"Add 5\% interest to accounts with a balance less than \$10,000."
account $\leftarrow \Pi_{\text {acct_id, branch_name, balance*1.05 }}\left(\sigma_{\text {balance<10000 }}(\right.$ account $\left.)\right) \cup$
$\sigma_{\text {balance } \geq 10000}$ (account)

## Updating Some Tuples (2)

Another example:
"Add 5\% interest to accounts with a balance less than $\$ 10,000$, and $6 \%$ interest to accounts with a balance of $\$ 10,000$ or more."
account $\leftarrow \prod_{\text {acct_id,branch_name,balance*1.05 }}\left(\sigma_{\text {balance<10000 }}(\right.$ account $\left.)\right) \cup$
$\prod_{\text {acct_id,branch_name,balance* } 1.06}\left(\sigma_{\text {balance }} \geq 10000(\right.$ account $\left.)\right)$
$\square$ Don't forget to include any non-updated tuples in your update operations!

## Relational Algebra Summary

$\square$ Very expressive query language for retrieving information from a relational database
$\square$ Simple selection, projection
$\square$ Computing correlations between relations using joins
$\square$ Grouping and aggregation operations
$\square$ Can also specify changes to the contents of a relation-variable
$\square$ Inserts, deletes, updates
$\square$ The relational algebra is a procedural query language
$\square$ State a sequence of operations for computing a result

## Relational Algebra Summary (2)

$\square$ Benefit of relational algebra is that it can be formally specified and reasoned about
$\square$ Drawback is that it is very verbose!
$\square$ Database systems usually provide much simpler query languages
$\square$ Most popular by far is SQL, the Structured Query Language
$\square$ However, many databases use relational algebra-like operations internally!
$\square$ Great for representing execution plans, due to its procedural nature

## Next Time

$\square$ Transition from relational algebra to SQL
$\square$ Start working with "real" databases ©

